Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident Evidence
1903	3028		Affidavit of MIYAMA, Yuzo	27041
626A-11	3029		Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki, dated 11 March 1946	27060
164	3030		Affidavit of IIMURA, Minoru	27066
592	3031		Chart depicting the enti- Japanese Military Organization in Dece 1941	
626A-1	3032		excerpt from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki, day 30 January 1946	lon ted 27082
626A-8	3033		Excerpt from Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki, date 13 March 1946	lon ed 27085
1501	3034		Affidavit of Alfred F. Kretschmer	27091
2131	3035		Formal Agreement Between Thailand and Japan of an Offensive and De- fensive Alliance	f
1665	3036		Affidavit of AKANE, Kazu	27098
1666	3037		Affidavit of YAMAMOTO, Yoshio	27103
			AFTERNOON RECESS	27108
2295	3038		Deposition of MIYAMA, Yo	zo 27112

of

WITNESSES

(cont'd)

Defense! Witnesses	Page
YAMAMOTO, Yoshio	27102
Direct by Mr. Blewett	27102
(Witness excused)	27111
MATSUMOTO, Shun-Ichi	27129
Direct by Mr. Freeman	277.20

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
TANAKA, Shinichi (resumed)	27014
Direct (cont'd) by Mr. Blewett Direct by Mr. SHIMANOUCHI	27014 27037
(Witness excused)	27039
MIYAMA, Yuzo	27040
Direct by Mr. Blewett Direct by Mr. T. OKAMOTO	27040 27047
Cross by Mr. Tavenner	27047
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	27048
MORNING RECESS	27049
Direct (cont'd) by Mr. Blewett	27050
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Tavenner	27055
(Witness excused)	27060
IIMURA, Minoru	27066
Direct by Mr. Blewett	27066
Cross by Brigadier Quilliam	27071
NOON RECESS	27073
(Witness excused)	27077
AKANE, Kazuo	27098
Direct by Mr. Blewett	27098
(Witness excused)	27101

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Def. Pros. No. No.	Description	For In Ident Evidence
"A"	3038-A	Secret-Great-Diary of the War Ministry, 1931, Vol. I	27112
"B"	3038-в	Secret-Great-Diery of the War Ministry, 1931, Vol. VI	27113
"C"	3038-c	File of Permanent Records of the War Ministry, 1931, Otsu, Section 3	27113
ייםיי	3038-р	Item No. 379 (1 bundle) according to the number given by the Washington Document Center entitle "Army-Asia-Great-Secret Diary, 1942, Vol. V"	n .ed
"E"	3038-E	Manchuria-Incoming-Great- Diary Ordinary of the War Ministry, 1931, Parts I and III	27114
uku	3038-F	Ditto	27114
ııĞıı	3038-G	Manchuria-Secret-Great- Diary of the War Ministry, 1931, Vol.	27114
"H"	3038-н	Secret-Great-Diary of the War Ministry, 1931, Vol. II	27115
"I"	3038-1	Secret-Great-Diary of the War Ministry, 1931, Vol. III	27115
"J"	3038 - J	Secret-Great-Diary of the War Ministry, 1931, Vol. V	27115

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident Evidenc
1083	3039		Affidavit of MATSU	мото,

Thursday, 28 August 1947 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930. Appearances: For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE I. M. ZARYANOV, Member from the USSR., not sitting from 0930 to 1600. For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before. (English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

Knapp & Yelden

2

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

SHINICHI TANAKA, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

MR. BLEWETT: I had reach page 13, if the Tribunal please, of the affidavit at the top of the page:

In comparing the decision of 2 July and that of 6 September there are differences in the fundamental points. (a) With respect to what might be the cause for conflict between Japan and the United States and Great Britain the earlier decision was limited to the problem of Siam and French Indo-China, especially the latter, where as the later decision reflects the fact that the area and actuality of friction between Japan and the United States and Great Britain have become greatly enlarged and far more serious as a result of the American-British-Dutch offensive against Japan, the embargo and the situation with regard to the resilience of Japanese national strength. In other words, Japan was to demand by sheer force of circumstances that the United States and Great Britain refrain from interfering in and obstructing the

1 solution of China Incident, and from threatening Japan's national defense, and offer their cooperation in acquiring raw materials. She further was to demand of the United)tates and Great Britain recognition of the special relations between Japan and French Indo-China, the non-establishment of military interests in Siam, the Netherland East Indies, China and the Soviet Far East, and confirmation that there will be no military reinforcements in the Far East. 10 Moreover, the later decision also provided that Japan 11 naturally would agree to concessions to a considerable 12 degree in return for the foregoing demands. Should by any chance military operations

be undertaken under the earlier decision, the objective was to carry out measures concerning French Indo-China, while in the later case, the nation's self-preservation and self-defense would be the motive.

"(c) Whereas the former decision considered French Indo-China as essentially the center of the area of operations, the area of operations under the latter would expand widely.

"(d) Accordingly, it is only natural that there should be differences in the strategical concept.

"(e) The situation regarding the strategic material, oil, is completely different from what it was

24 25

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

,

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

at the time of the July decision. Now the much feared danger signal that our national defense would be rendered powerless can be seen in this single item.

"3. As this decision on national policy is a demand for acceleration of operational preparations directed toward the South, the General Staff is required urgently to complete the actual plan on an overall basis. In connection with operational preparations the fixed annual peacetime plan and the supplementation made after 2 July shall be further accelerated and perfected. In addition necessary operational preparations shall be begun anew. The Supreme Command feels especially the need for a deep reconsideration of the fact that the annual plan for the year 1941 is insufficient, incomplete and impractical and the accompanying preparations for defense betrays weakness and lack of thoroughness. In view of the situation, the chief of the General Staff has given directions to the Vice-Chief and the Chief of the First Division on the following matter as an operational formula to be newly adopted. It is, in substance, that the national policy decision of 6 September is an order for the completion of operational preparations on a general scale regardless of whether or not they are offensive or defensive in nature. As the first operational

25

formula to be adopted, Japan shall in the early stage counter passively the attacks of the American, British and Dutch forces singly or severally and then after completing operational preparations turn to the offensive to secure the defense of our land. a case we must recognize that we cannot avoid carrying out cortinuously operations necessary for our selfpreservation and defense, and preparations toward this end shall be completed. As to the second operational formula, although we shall counter the attacks of the opposing party, we shall without falling into a defensive position undertake repulsive actions by counterattacking from the very outset and then carry out the strategy indicated in the first formula, as to which of the above two we should rely on or whether other plans (such as special defense in some particular spot) should be adopted. The choice would naturally be determined by the situation within and without our country at the time of the commencement of hostilities and the degree of completion of our national policy in meeting that situation as well as the state of our military and naval preparations. At such a time the various conditions surrounding the navy would have a decisive importance. As for the army Supreme Command, it was directed that whatever may be the circumstances,

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

24

the army's objective was to carry out operations which, if possible would immediately repulse the opposition's initial attack and that various studies and preparations be carried forward with this in view.

"4. Although the formula of operations to be adopted and the aim of preparations to be attained are, as stated above, there is no alternative at present than to undertake defensive operations at first. In other words, while on the one hand we must undertake to perfect all emergency measures in planning and preparing our strategy of defense, we must, on the other as operational preparations are gradually pushed to completion make it our principle to plan and prepare urgent matters in an orderly manner so that there will be no miscarriage in the execution of our defensive or offensive strategy whichever it may be. This, from this day onward, has become the important subject of study especially of the Supreme Command. At the same time, the possibility of an initial attack by the opposition before the completion of Japanese preparations by the Latter part of October, is a matter worthy of deep caution and prudent consideration on the part of the operation authorities.

"B. About the middle of September, the General Staff decided upon a plan of defensive strategy to be

adopted for the time being in the South, based upon the September national policy decision and prepared according to the following mental attitude:

"1. The defensive strategy for the South
to be taken by the Japanese army shall vary according
to the stage of progress of operational preparations,
but on the whole, it shall be on a very small scale.
In the final analysis its primary object will be the
defense of French Indo-China itself from the attack of
the opposition. From the actual state of our present
operational preparations we cannot engage in operations
in other areas in the Southern region. Hence, in such
an event grave difficulties would arise in the defense
of Japanese territory and protection of our marine
transportation.

amounts to a great failure from the standpoint of national defense, we should quickly abandon such a passive and harmful operational formula and turn from a purely defensive position to a passive offensive. This requires speedy progress in our operational preparations such as would permit a change over to emergency operational command for our self-preservation and defense.

"3. In case such operations are unavoidably

given rise to, much against our wishes, the principle of operational command and other methods as are deemed proper shall be established in accordance with the actual state of progress of operational preparations. In this connection, no directions in advance are deemed necessary to be given to the forces on the spot (forces stationed in French Indo-China). This is regarded as disadvantageous to us.

Staff was constantly apprehensive lest there be a possible attack initiated by the United States and Great Britain, considered it highly necessary to prepare fully against it. Hence there was great concern in our defensive operational command in this period when preparations for operations in the South were incomplete. On 6 November 1941 the Imperial Headquarters sent to the Supreme Commander of the Southern Army an order to prepare for the capture of strategic points in the Southern Region.

"This order permitted him, in the event of an initial attack by the armed forces of the United States, Great Britain and the Netherlands, or one of them, to meet the attack with the forces under his command for purposes of self-defense. In an order issued on 1 December 1941 the Imperial Headquarters

7 8

American attack the Supreme Commander shall, in cooperation with the navy, commence offensive go ahead
operations at a proper time. As can thus be seen,
these orders were issued out of a deep concern over
a possible initial attack by the United States and
Great Britain. There were also grave apprehensions
over a possible British invasion of Siam.

"D. The state of operational preparations about the middle of September was generally as follows: In connection with the preparations both under the jurisdiction of the War Ministry and the joint jurisdiction of the Ministry and High Command all matters were vigorously advanced through cooperation between them, but delay could not be avoided. This was principally due to lack of materials and shipping.

"1. Although it was the plan of the General Staff gradually to release units of the 5th and 18th divisions from the China operations for employment in the French Indo-China area, their education, training and equipment having recovered to some extent about this time to enable them to engage in operations, yet their recovery and perfection, especially preparations which would accord with the requirements of operations in the South, were far from sufficient. (These

divisions were at first being concentrated for return to Japan and demobilization, but in view of the situation in the South, their return home was called off and they were made to stand by in China.)

"These divisions had been on duty maintaining public peace and safety in China (near Shanghai and Canton). In addition, they were primarily engaged in military training. Even in case an incident with the United States and Great Britain should suddenly occur and these divisions are dispatched to cope with it, they would require nearly two months before they could actually engage in defensive actions, the time being necessary to complete concentration, transportation and other various preparations.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

"In addition to the above, several more divisions engaged in the China operations were scheduled to be transferred to the Southern operations in accordance with future requirements, as well as three additional divisions in Japan proper and Formosa.

"2. Ammunition for the Southern operations for approximately ten divisions to engage in battle was scheduled to be stocked up in Formosa and French Indo-China and it was planned that as a part of the scheme the first stock pile be created in Formosa about the end of September, but its executions were extremely doubtful because of the shortage of shipping. Conditions were such that even if all difficulties were overcome in transporting and accumulating the entire stock, the accumulation could not be completed until the end of December. The ammunition to be stocked up was for general operations and not as equipment for the forces. My subordinates were able to learn of the above from their contacts with the various bureaus of the War Ministry which were concerned with these matters. Moreover, about this time the standard amount of ammunition to be used for the Southern operations was considered to be one and one-half

times the general standard and it was on this standard that the basis for the stock-piling of ammunition was set up.

mart of our forces was despatched to the South from Manchuria and the Japanese homeland. The newly despatched forces were: One division, a tank regiment, an independent anti-tank unit, air force ground crews, signal corps, and supply forces for South China, a tank corps headquarters, a tank regiment, an independent anti-tank unit, an air corps, artillery corps, signal corps, and supply forces for Formosa; and an independent mixed regiment, air force ground crews and supply forces for French Indo-China.

"4. Since about this time, aviation and shipping installations were being reinforced or newly created in Formosa, French Indo-China and South China; supply bases were being established in South China, Formosa and French Indo-China; the requisitioning of shipping and the fitting out and equipping of ships were begun or their effectuation being facilitated; and necessary training of army corps and air forces intended for use in the operations was being accelerated.

3

5

6

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

25

"E. In the meantime, I learned from the Replenishment Bureau of the War Ministry about the actual situation relative to preparations pertaining to liquid fuels, the gist being as follows:

"Assuming that Japan would continue the China Incident through 1941 and 1942 generally under the international situation now prevailing, her holdings of aviation gasoline and heavy oil in 1943 after dedicating the amount expected to be consumed in these two years would be extremely small and sufficient to meet the requirements of no more than one year of military operations. The reserve of heavy oil especially is sufficient to satisfy the requirements of the Navy to conduct decisive operations for no more than half a year. The foregoing estimates are based on the total reserves within Japan. Furthermore domestically produced crude oil, synthetic crude oil, alcohol etc., are far from sufficient to have any effect on the general situation, while with respect to synthetic oil there was no prospect of obtaining them in great volume. In other words if the present conditions remained unchanged during the two years, it was clear that our defensive strength would diminish as a matter of course to a state of complete powerlessness.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

"E. In the meantime, I learned from the Replenishment Bureau of the War Ministry about the actual situation relative to preparations pertaining to liquid fuels, the gist being as follows:

"Assuming that Japan would continue the China Incident through 1941 and 1942 generally under the international situation now prevailing, her holdings of aviation gasoline and heavy oil in 1943 after dedicating the amount expected to be consumed in these two years would be extremely small and sufficient to meet the requirements of no more than one year of military operations. The reserve of heavy oil especially is sufficient to satisfy the requirements of the Navy to conduct decisive operations for no more than half a year. The foregoing estimates are based on the total reserves within Japan. Furthermore domestically produced crude oil, synthetic crude oil, alcohol etc., are far from sufficient to have any effect on the general situation, while with respect to synthetic oil there was no prospect of obtaining them in great volume. In other words if the present conditions remained unchanged during the two years, it was clear that our defensive strength would diminish as a matter of course to a state of complete powerlessness.

23

24

25

"F. In the Army department of the Imperial Headquarters an over-all operation plan against the United States, Great Britain and the Netherlands was being formulated on the basis of past studies, experience and collected data, but the chief of the General Staff conceived of the plan of operations to capture strategic points in the Southern Region in order to establish Japan's position of self-preservation and defense by breaking through and severing the ABCD encirclement immediately in the event Japan is provoked and challenged after the early part of October 1941 and on this basis issued directions for the formulation and study of initial operations against the United States, Great Britain and the Netherlands. This draft plan obtained the approval of the General Staff late in October, and in the middle of November, was definitely established as a plan both in name and in fact. The foregoing does not mean, however, that the Japanese army had, after the early part of October, the intention to capture key points in the Fouthern Region or that there was such a possibility. It merely indicated the course of development of the study of plans of operation. At the same time, it was but a natural expression to cope with the situation caused by extreme

into the very jaws of death.

DIRECT

difficulty of adjusting Japanese-American relations and the strengthening of the encirclement of Japan in East Asia. Moreover, it was but a result of a study of means by which to meet a situation in which Japan might be compelled unavoidably to act for her self-defense even at the risk of throwing herself

"G. The preparations started on the basis of decision of 6 September, 1941, were to be suspended, in the event peace was decided as the national policy on the occasion for the decision for peace or war which was scheduled to be made in early October. If, on the contrary, a decision for war was adopted at that time, formal preparations were to be vigorously pushed forward and completed by the end of the same month. However, the preparations actually did not progress as rapidly as had been expected. This was because the decision for peace or war as a national policy had not been made in early October as scheduled and a period of indecision continued until the advent of the TOJO Cabinet in the middle of October. Then from shortly after the formation of the TOJO Cabinet until early in November, Japan's policy vis_a-vis the United States and Breat Britain had been returned to a clean slate.

2

1

4

6

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

3

6

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

Meanwhile operational preparations in this period were unavoidably slowed down or their effectuation held in abeyance. In this period the mobilization of troops, the requisitioning of shipping, and the establishment of military bases were greatly retarded. However, as I will state, later, operational preparations proceeded on a full scale after the Liaison Conference in early November indicated the outline of national policy.

"H. Japanese foreign policy and operational preparations based upon the decision made as a result of the Imperial Conference on 6 September 1941 were coordinated according to the following consideration:

"1. On the day before the Imperial Conference on 6 September, a complete mutual agreement was reached between the Prime Minister and the Army and Navy chiefs of the Supreme Command on the point that the first principle of the National policy decision was to obtain Japan's objectives through diplomatic negotiations and therefore from the theoretical standpoint Clause Two of the decision should come before Clause One and that war was not desired but was to be resorted to only if absolutely unavoidable, that the essence of the decision lay in

diplomatic negotiations.

"2. Full consideration should be paid in the carrying out of preparations so as not to hinder the diplomatic negotiation. With this in view the armed forces to be sent into South French Indo-China in addition to those already stationed there should be limited only to ground crews of the air force.

"3. Necessary mobilization to follow the decision on national policy of 6 september was, even after it was put into effect, to be suspended, if the diplomatic negotiations made a turn for the better. The foregoing items, 1 and 2, were to be decided by the consultation between the chief of the General Staff and the War Minister.

"4. All operational preparations were to be carried out on the principle that it will not impede or obstruct the diplomatic negotiations.

"V. I shall now speak of matters relating to the operational plan and preparations after the adoption of Proposals A and B, vis_a_vis, the United States reached after the Imperial Conference of 5 November 1941.

"A. The explanations made by the Chief and Vice-Chief of the Army General Staff on the same

4 5

day, 5 November 1941, to the chiefs of various divisions of the General Staff Office, were in substance as follows:

4 5

3

6

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

"At the Liaison Conferences held daily from the latter part of October to the early part of November, prior to the decision of 5 November, it was recognized that the relations between Japan and the United States were at last approaching the final stage where a choice had to be made between peace or war, but it was agreed that efforts will be continued to effect a diplomatic settlement while maintaining, as heretofore, the twofold policy of diplomacy and war preparations as a means of tiding over the critical situation. However, there was a time limit on both the diplomatic steps and war preparations. Operational preparations were to be carried forward with the resolve that if a settlement could not be reached through diplomatic negotiations, then an appeal to arms would be made as a last resort and preparations hereafter were to be on a full scale. The decision was explained as being the same as that of 6 September in that a resolution for war was not made. With regard to when operational preparations should be completed, the Chief of Staff on this same occasion, expressed the view that this goal should

be set for the end of November or beginning of December:

DIRECT

•

"B. With the intensification of a crisis in the relations between the two countries, full scale preparations were launched after 5 November on the twofold principle of diplomacy and preparations with the intention to leave no stone unturned in the consideration of measures with which to cope with the worst eventuality. I am familiar with these matters as they were in my line of duty and their gist is as follows:

"(1) In addition to the vigorous advancement of the preparations, which were hitherto being
made, mobilization and deployment of troops,
requisitioning of ships and the establishment of
military bases were carried out with the utmost
effort. The Army's operational preparations for the
initial phase of operations were being completed with
the end of November as the goal.

"(2) The over-all plan of operations of the Army Department of the Imperial Headquarters against the United States, Great Britain and the Netherlands were under study and discussion parallel with the government's diplomatic efforts. It was late in October that it became a final plan and it was

7 8

formally adopted both in name and in fact in the middle of November (about the 15th). The establishment of the plan of operations for the General Army for the Southern Region and other armies under it in the field took place later.

"(3) The organization of operational armies, namely, the order of battle of the Southern Army and the order pertaining to important personnel including the supreme Commander of the Southern Army and others under him were issued on 6 November, and on the same day an Imperial Headquarters order concerning the operational preparations of the Southern Army was transmitted. The gist was that the Supreme Commander shall prepare for the capture of key areas in the South from bases in French Indo-China, South China, Formosa and the Southwest Pacific Islands, employing his main forces and in cooperation with the Navy. In case he is attacked by American, British and Dutch forces, he is empowered to meet the attack with the forces under his command.

"(4) On 8 November, in Tokyo, an agreement for joint operations was made between the Head-quarters of the Southern General Army and the combined fleet.

"(5) On 15 November the Imperial Headquarters

. 3

notified the Supreme Commander of the Southern Army of the outline to be followed in the operations to capture and occupy key areas in the South. The areas to be captured and occupied were the Philippine Islands, British Malaya, the Dutch East Indies and a part of southern Burma. It was further directed that the stability of Siam and French Indo-China be maintained with all possible effort.

- tional army corps to serve under the southern General Army left for their areas of service from Japan, China, and Formosa. These army corps were to be returned home at any time, when the negotiations between Japan and the United States reached a settlement. In this connection, the Chief of the Army General Staff gave direct instructions to General TERAUCHI, the Supreme Commander of the Southern Army, and the latter readily acknowledged it.
- "(7) As to the supply, the necessary preparations were generally nearing completion after the middle of November.
- "(8) All the full scale war preparations stated above were not the result of a decision for war. Hence, if the Japanese-American negotiations were successfully consummated, all the preparations

3 4

7

5

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

were to be halted and returned to a clean slate. In this respect there was a complete agreement between the General Staff and the War Ministry as well as the Naval Supreme Command. The suspension of preparations was a matter of considerable difficulty and required coolness and boldness of will and speedy and organized handling of business relating thereto. At that time the Chief of the General Staff was full of confidence in this respect.

"C. Although both the original and copies of the operational plan for the southern Region which was established in the middle of November, 1941, were destroyed by fire, the general outline thereof which I retain in my memory is as follows:

"(1) The outline of the plan is given in paragraph 1 and onward, but it is to be borne in mind that it was to be abandoned if the diplomatic negotiations reached a settlement before the outbreak of war.

"(2) The areas of operations in the South were to be the Philippine Islands, Guam, Hong-Kong, British Malaya, Burma, Java, Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes, the Bismarck Islands and Dutch Timor.

"(3) Operations were to be commenced simultaneously against the Philippines and British

3

4

5

.

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Malaya through close cooperation between the Army and Navy and completed in the shortest possible time.

"(4) Armed forces to be employed in the operations were to consist of 11 divisions, 9 tank regiments, 2 aviation corps and other units under the army's direct command. The division of these forces into army corps and their areas of assignment were scheduled as follows:

"The 14th Army of the Southern Army, consisting of two divisions as its mainstay and assigned to the Philippines area; the 15th Army, consisting of two divisions was assigned to maintain stability in Siam and operations in Burma; the 16th Army, consisting of three divisions (of which two divisions were to be transferred after the end of other operations) were assigned to the Netherlands Indies area; the 25th Army, consisting of four divisions, was assigned to engage in operations against Malaya and Singapore; the air force was to consist of two air corps as its mainstay; the 23rd Army under the command of the China Expeditionary Forces, was assigned to operations in the Hong Kong area, with one division as its mainstay; detachments in the Southern sea area under the direct command

of the Imperial Headquarters were to consist of three infantry battalions as their mainstay and assigned to operations against Guam, the Bismarck Islands, etc., and another division was to be assigned to maintain stability in French Indo-China.

operations was to be fixed after the decision for war was made. As set forth above, the operational plan and preparations of the Japanese Army varied in the scale of their objective, the degree of precision and their strength in accordance with the relaxation or intensification of the international situation and the demands of defense during the period between spring and early winter in 1941. In every case it was a stipulation of operational technique and naturally not a war plan. Moreover, the Japanese Supreme Command had nothing which can be called a war program in time of peace. The same was the case with the Japanese Government. This concludes my testimony."

Do you wish to cross-examine the witness?

of the Imperial Headquarters were to consist of three infantry battalions as their mainstay and assigned to operations against Guam, the Bismarck Islands, etc., and another division was to be assigned to maintain stability in French Indo-China.

operations was to be fixed after the decision for war was made. As set forth above, the operational plan and preparations of the Japanese Army varied in the scale of their objective, the degree of precision and their strength in accordance with the relaxation or intensification of the international situation and the demands of defense during the period between spring and early winter in 1941. In every case it was a stipulation of operational technique and naturally not a war plan. Moreover, the Japanese Supreme Command had nothing which can be called a war program in time of peace. The same was the case with the Japanese Government. This concludes my testimony."

Do you wish to cross-examine the witness?

Duda & Spratt

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. SHIMANOUCHI.

the Court. If the Court please, I should like to ask a few questions on direct examination on behalf of the defendant OSHIMA.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

DY MR. SHIMANOUCHI:

Q From October 1940 to December 1942, that is to say, during the time you occupied the position of Chief of the Operational Planning Division of the General Staff Headquarters, did the General Staff ever reveal its operational plans to OSHIMA?

A During that period it goes without saying that the General Staff never conveyed its operational plans to OSHIMA. Neither did I, myself, personally convey such information as an individual. Even within the General Staff office these operational plans were revealed only to those who were especially connected with them.

THE PRESIDENT: This examination by you,
Mr. SHIMANOUCHI, is quite unnecessary from your
client's viewpoint. From your attitude one would
assume that OSHIMA was the most involved of all the
accused. We will assume that the General Staff
didn't show their plans to OSHIMA unless the contrary

is established.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: According to prosecution exhibit No. 571, document concerning a conversation held between OSHIMA and Ribbentrop in February 1941, it is stated that OSHIMA said preparations for the capture of Singapore would be completed by the early part of May, and that preparations for the capture of Hong Kong and the Philippines were also under way.

THE PRESIDENT: This witness has not confirmed that, and he could not tell you what OSHIMA did or did not know. He could only tell you what he knew or what he did. In any event, OSHIMA could know those things without being shown the General Staff plans. You are wasting time, really, Mr. S.IMA-NOUCHI. I hate to shut down on your examination.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: Then I should like to reserve the right to call this witness on behalf of the defendant OSHIMA in the individual defense phase.

THE PRESIDENT: Not to answer these questions, certainly.

Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, this

-

TANAKA 27,039

affidavit, in the view of the prosecution, is replete with argument, which in cross-examination, we take it, could be tested only by further argument.

show how the Japanese reasoned. That is not argument. He is stating a fact there. But it would be most difficult for you to conduct a cross-examination of any length or any value, having regard to the nature of the subject matter. That the Japanese thought was a matter peculiarly within the knowledge of the Japanese, and you can only test that by matters external to their thoughts, and you have done it in your prosecution's evidence.

siderations and the further fact that most of the matters referred to are matters which will ultimately have to be construed by the Tribunal after summations by both sides, we view it unnecessary to conduct a cross-examination.

MR. DLEWETT: I call the witness MIYAMA.

THE PRESIDENT: We must release this man first.

He is released on the usual terms.

(Thereupon, the witness was excused.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

YUZO KIYAMA, called as a witness on behalf 1 of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified 2 through Japanese interpreters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MI. DLEWETT: 5 Q Give us your name and address. My name is MIYAMA, Yuzo; my address, Tokyo,

Chiyoda-ku, Nagata-cho, 1 Chome, No. 8.

O May the witness be shown defense document No. 1903.

Is that your afficavit and did you sign it?

A Yes.

Q Are the contents true and correct?

A Yes.

II. DLEVETT: I offer in evidence, if your Honor please, defense document 1903, and read a portion of it.

THE PRESIDENT: Er. Tavenner.

M. TAVENNET: If the Tribunal please, objection is made to the document as a whole. The only paragraph which is relevant is the one marked 3, in the view of the prosecution, extending down through the line, "There exists no original now," near the top of page 2. The rest of the document, we contend, is both irrelevant and immaterial. As to this paragraph

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

marked "3," the Tribunal's attention is called to the fact that this witness gave a certificate which was introduced in evidence on this same subject by the prosecution and bears exhibit No. 2000, page 14,699 of the transcript, and which is followed by another document, 2001, which is explanatory of the situation. In the light of these previous exhibits we feel that the document is immaterial. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett. MR. DLEWETT: We shall be quite content, sir,

just to read paragraph 3.

THE PRESIDENT: The document is admitted as to paragraph 3 thereof only.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1903 will receive exhibit No. 3028.

(Thereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3028 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLETETT: I shall read exhibit 3028, starting at the third paragraph --

THE PRESIDENT: Down to the words -- to the extent that Mr. Tavenner did not object.

MR. BLEWETT: What page was that, Mr. Tavenner? MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, I objected to the document in its entirety, but parts of it

on different grounds.

THE PRESIDENT: We understood you differently; at least, I did. I understood you to say that paragraph, or the part of paragraph 3 which you indicated, was relevant.

MR. TAVENNER: Perhaps I did not state it clearly. Paragraph three is a relevant matter, but in the light of the other exhibits to which I referred we contend that it is immaterial, it being a repetition of document 2000; so I prefaced my remarks by objecting to the introduction of the document in its entirety.

pression that we agreed down to the beginning of Appendix No. 1 on 3, and that material is quite relevant, we believe; and I am informed that some of these documents referred to will be used later on in individual phases, and we could lay the groundwork for it here, sir, and save the time of recalling this witness. That would only be about two pages, sir.

MR. BROOKS: If your Honor please, I should like to be heard on this. I think it would save a great deal of time in the individual cases.

THE PRESIDENT: We are not going to depart from our rule.

I can't get a majority for any particular viewpoint. However, you did agree to paragraph 3 as indicated by Mr. Tavenner being admitted alone.

MR. BLEWETT: Yes, your Honor; down to Appendix No. 1.

THE PRESIDENT: No, that is more than Mr. Tavenner agreed to.

MR. TAVENNER: The objection, if the Tribunal please, went down to "There exists no original now," near the top of page 2.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you say the objection or the admission?

MR. TAVENNER: I put it in the form of an objection, but the Tribunal viewed it otherwise, and, as I understood it, you had admitted section 3 down to and through the line "There exists no original now," which is the part that I stated I considered relevant but immaterial.

MR. BLEWETT: Sir, I have been requested by other counsel to ask permission if we can't read

starting on the bottom of page 2, just the last paragraph. That refers to--

THE PRESIDENT: What part of paragraph 3 in this document is not contained in exhibits 2000 and 2001?

MR. BLEWETT: I am told, sir, the part starting with "The Great Diary, the Proclamation of Councillors," and so on, all those references--

THE PRESIDENT: We do not want you to duplicate anything, Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: Then, sir, the second paragraph on page 3 refers to documents which are in Washington and which efforts are being made at the present time to obtain.

THE PRESIDENT: You must indicate to us what there is in paragraph 3 that is not in those two exhibits. That much we will admit. But we have not made any comparison. We haven't those exhibits in court.

MR. BLEWETT: I would say, sir, that the important matters in this affidavit are paragraph 3, the last paragraph on page 1, the last paragraph on page 2, down to Appendix No. 1; about four paragraphs in all. Of course, sir, if the prosecution can point out that this reference is already in evidence,

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

8,

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

we shall not read it.

THE PRESIDENT: We have not been in a position to make a comparison. We are inclined to let you read from the last paragraph on page 2, beginning "The Great Diary," down to the middle of page 3; that is, down to Appendix No. 1, but not including that.

THE PRESIDENT: The document is admitted to that extent.

MR. BLEWETT: I read exhibit 3028 as designated, beginning at the bottom of page 2, the last paragraph.

"The Great Diary, the Proclamation of Councillors, various laws promulgated since the establishment of the army, documents relating to the war of (1877), Diary of the Sino-Japanese War, Diary of the Russo-Japanese War, Documents relating to the Siberian Expedition, Documents in connection with the prisoners of war in the Russo-Japanese War and World War I, the League of Nations, Reduction of Armament and the Peace Treaty, and the annals and the original records of the advance and retreat of the deactivated divisions, brigades and regiments, all being valuable historical materials which had been transferred to the army ware-house...during the period from December, 1944, to

March, 1945, to avoid possible damages from air raids.

Some of them were burned but the remainder were kept
in custody under a strict surveillance of guards in
accordance with Directive No. 2 of the Supreme Commander
of the Allied Powers on September 3, 1945.

"After that at the request of Major Duncan Macferren, Chief of the Document Section of the Allied Forces Washington the documents were transferred directly by eight trucks of the First Demobilization Bureau to the First Army Arsenal at Oji on January 8th and 9th, 1946, and delivered to the Washington Document Section there.

"The Explanation of the Classification of documents issued and the Great Diary in the War Ministry is as in the attached supplement.

"The burning was commenced in the evening of the 14th by each respective unit, government office and school and I suppose it was completed in a short time."

You may question.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: If it please the Court, I should like to be permitted to ask one or two questions.

THE PRESIDENT: On behalf of whom? MR. T. OKAMOTO: MINAMI.

BY MR. T. OKAMOTO:

Q The part of the affidavit that was read just now, does that refer to the documents which were in the custody of the War Ministry?

A Yes, they are.

Q Then do you know in what manner the documents which were in the custody of the General Staff were disposed of?

A Yes, I do.

Q Will you explain this briefly?

A With regard to the documents which were in the custody of the General Staff, I have referred to this in the very last part of my affidavit. Furthermore, the War Ministry and the General Staff occupied the same building, and at the time the documents were destroyed I was in the garden and I saw this actually being done by the General Staff.

Q Then is it correct to conclude that all documents which were in the possession of the General Staff were destroyed by -- were burned?

A Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAVENNER:

Q How many copies of the Great Diary were made?

2

3

5

6

8

0

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23 24

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I do not know how many copies were made.
Q Why don't you know?
A After I became senior adjutant of the War
Ministry, I had no chance to investigate how many
copies had been made, and at present no record of how
many copies were made remains.
Q How many copies to your knowledge existed?
A They may be counted in the tens and hundreds.
Q Do you know where any one of the ten or
hurdred copies is now, other than the one that you say
was delivered to the Washington document center.
A I do not know.
Q To whom were they circulated?
A They were not circulated.
Q Do you know that there was a copy of the
prisoners of war report referred to in your affidavit
in the hands of strike the question, please.
Do you not know that there was a copy of the
Great Diary in the possession of the Prisoners of War
Investigation Committee as late as November 1945?
A I do not know.
The second of the sec

THE PRESIDENT: I have a question on behalf of a Member of the Tribunal.

Were the documents transferred to the First Army arsenal in January accompanied by an inventory?

	1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	-
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
1-8	
19	
20	

22

23

24

25

A I do not know how many copies were made.

Q Why don't you know?

A After I became senior adjutant of the War Ministry, I had no chance to investigate how many copies had been made, and at present no record of how many copies were made remains.

- Q How many copies to your knowledge existed?
- A They may be counted in the tens and hundreds.
- Q Do you know where any one of the ten or hundred copies is now, other than the one that you say was delivered to the Washington document center.
 - A I do not know.
 - Q To whom were they circulated?
 - A They were not circulated.
- Q Do you know that there was a copy of the prisoners of war report referred to in your affidavit in the hands of -- strike the question, please.

Do you not know that there was a copy of the Great Diary in the possession of the Prisoners of War Investigation Committee as late as November 1945?

A I do not know.

THE PRESIDENT: I have a question on behalf of a Member of the Tribunal.

Were the documents transferred to the First Army arsenal in January accompanied by an inventory?

- 1	
1	THE WITNESS: There was an inventory. However,
2	I do not believe it was correct accurate.
3	THE PRESIDENT: Where is it?
4	THE WITNESS: I believe it is now in Washington.
5	THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen
6	minutes.
7	(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was
8	taken until 1105, after which the proceed-
9	ings were resumed as follows:)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	constant on the eye with by contributing the state of the state of
16	or decision by the trib tens the market will be some with the
17	out he the For Markette and of those reasoning this here
18	
19	The second to second the second that the second the sec
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

-

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

Wolf & Lefer

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Tribunal please, to clear up a misapprenhension as to what is meant by this diary, I would like to ask the witness one or two questions on redirect examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Strictly, it is further examination in chief, but go ahead.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLEWETT (Continued):

Q What do you mean by the "Great Diary?"

A The Great Diary, differing from the ordinary diaries which are kept by individuals, was a large file of documents, the original documents which were sent out by the War Ministry and of those documents which were received by the War Ministry.

Q Are we to understand then that the diary consists only of original papers?

A Yes.

Q In your answer to Mr. Tavenner that there existed many copies of this diary, what did you mean?

THE PRESIDENT: He can say how many. You can ask him how many.

Q Did you mean that there were duplications of

19

20

22

23

this paper consisting of copies, or that there were several, various different volumes of this diary?

A I meant the latter.

Q Approximately how many criginal diaries would be prepared in the course of a year?

A More than twenty.

MR. BLEWETT: I show the witness one of the diaries.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q And I shal? ask you to tell us what period of time is covered by that diary.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, it occurs to me that there has been a great waste of time talking about the diary in view of the fact that I am now informed that they have some copies, that they have just received, of the diaries.

MR. BLEWETT: We are going to offer those in evidence, sir. The only difficulty about the situation is that in the translation of the word "copy." There are no copies of this. They are all originals. Each one pertains to a different period of time.

THE PRESIDENT: You mean there is only one diary in a number of parts?

9

11

6

7

8

12 13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

.

MR. BLEWETT: That is right, sir. 1 If we can agree on that, I will drop the 2 whole xamination right now. 3 Will the witness tell us, then, the name of 4 that diary and the period covered by it? THE PRESIDENT: What will that prove, Mr. 6 Blewett? MR. BLEWETT: I beg your pardon, sir. 8 THE PRESIDENT: What will that prove? 9 MR. BLEWETT: That there are no copies of 10 that, other than one. There is just one. 11 THE PRESIDENT: He does not prove that by 12 13 looking at it and answering the question. 14 MR. BLEWETT: I am going to follow that up 15 by asking if there were any duplications made of that 16 book. 17 THE PRESIDENT: Even so, he need not look in 18 the book and say what period it covers. 19 Mr. Tavenner. 20 MR. TAVENNER: The witness answered the ques-21 tion, your Honor. 22 BY MR. BLEWETT (Continued): 23 Do you know whether or not there are any dupli-24 cations of that diary, which you have just examined, in

25

existence?

1	A I do know.
2	Q Were copies made of that same identical book-
3	let, and is a copy of it in existence now?
4	A No copy was made. None is in existence now.
5	MR. BLEWETT: That is all.
6	May the witness be excused on the usual terms
7	THE PRESIDENT: Well, a Member of the Tribunal
8	is not too clear on the meaning of the word "originals,
9	as used by the witness. He suggests that if "originals
10	is used in the true sense, then only incoming corres-
11	pondence is included in the diary and not outgoing
12	correspondence, that it is not a diary at all but just
13	a collection of original papers.
14	MR. BLEWETT: That is our only point, sir.
15	It is a file, what we call a file.
16	THE PRESIDENT: Well, is there any contest
17	about it?
18	MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, we were
19	told a moment ago that this "Great Diary" included
20	originals received and copies that were sent out, so
21	unless that matter is cleared up, I will want to ask
22	some questions about it.
23	some directions about the

24 BY MR. BLEWETT (Continued):

25

Q I shall ask the witness if the diary contains the originals of papers received only, or does it also

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

office.

A The originals of the documents sent out from our office are included in that diary.

May I make a further explanation?

these revious burderes or cretions have and the eccusion

negrotupted of the Minister. Then the Beerstariat of

The Plantage of the State of th

19 Par The Charles Mr. Tusomers

20 CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

22

Q You may.

A The documents of the War Ministry are drafted by the various bureaus or sections under the order of the superiors. These documents which are drafted, after receiving the approval of the superior officer concerned, are typed and then sent out. These documents which are approved and signed by the superior officer are, as a rule, kept by the respective bureaus or sections concerned for about a period of one year. After these various bureaus or sections have had the document which bears the signature and approval of the superior officer for about a year, they then turn it into the Secretariat of the Minister. Then the Secretariat of the War Minister files these in what we call the Great Diary.

MR. BLEWETT: I think that explains the situation, sir.

Have you any questions?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAVENNER: (Continued)

Q What disposition is made of the copies of outgoing documents?

A The original papers, bearing the signature and approval of the superior officer, is turned into

the Secretariat of the Minister where copies are typewritten and these copies are distributed to various offices.

Q After the distribution of the copies of the outgoing messages is made, is there any one file that contains all the copies relating to a given matter?

A The various bureaus and sections usually keep such files according to the type of matter which these documents relate to for a period of one year. That is, these documents are kept according to the matters dealt therein.

Q Well now, at the end of that one year what is done with the copies retained of the outgoing letters?

A They are destroyed by burning.

Q Do you mean to state that in connection with important diplomatic matters, letters, copies of letters that have been written are destroyed at the end of one year?

A In regard to specially important documents, there are cases where such are kept in the custody of the officer -- responsible officer concerned.

Q Now, are you familiar with diary of the Imperial General Headquarters?

A I know nothing of a diary of the Imperial General Headquarters.

Q At any rate, the Great Diary to which you have been testifying is something different from the diary of the Imperial General Headquarters.

A Yes, that is true; it is something different.

MR. TAVENNER: With regard to the diary of Imperial General Headquarters, I refer the Tribunal to prosecution exhibit No. 476 at page 8.

Q Now, the copies of the outgoing letters and communications, I understood you to say, would be distributed to the various ministries; is that correct?

A That is so. You may so understand.

MR. TAVENNER: That is all, if the Tribunal please.

ments referred to on page three of the witness' affidavit, after having been sent to the War Department, Washington, Document Section, were subpoensed by Court Order, Paper No. 963 and 964. Of this number of documents that have been subpoensed, we have received ten to date and I have them here in the courtroom. And, we have finished in the defense screening these documents as far as we are concerned and have taken excerpts therefrom. Since they contain original telegrams and matters, letters, in Japanese, we think it proper at this time to offer them for identification so that they will be available

міуама міуама

for the prosecution, because it will take some time for them to go through and check this number of documents. If it is agreeable with the Court, we will offer them for identification to this witness so that they can be marked and they can be referred to by the prosecution or by other defendants who may use certain excerpts from these documents.

I offer this in the interest of saving time, because it will have to be done some time through some witness. This man can identify them and I believe it will save time and also make it a convenience to the prosecution by giving them a longer period of time to examine these documents. It has taken us some time.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett may take that course, if he so desires. If he does, we will say what is to be done as he hands up the documents, subject to any objection.

MR. BROOKS: That is why I ask for special permission, your Honor, because I know the Document Section is familiar with them because all the documents are in Japanese and I have the papers relating to them.

MR. BROOKS: Might I state one more sentence, your Honor? That it had taken us considerable time to

THE PRESIDENT: We will hear Mr. Blewett.

make this search and the prosecution had indicated that

25

they would like to have this document available. ,1 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett. 1 2 ch MR. BLEWETT: Defense counsel, sir, have 3 asked me to present this for identification through r 4 S this witness, if we may. 5 & THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner. 6 K MR. TAVENNER: There is no objection, if the a 17 p Tribunal please. 8 e MR. BLEWETT: I ask that the witness be shown . 9 u the Great Secret Diary for 1931, Volume 1. 10 (Whereupon, a document was handed to the 11 12 witness.) 13 BY MR. BLEWETT: 14 Is that the original of the Great Diary? 15 Yes. 16 What volume and for what period of time does 17 it cover? 18 It is Volume 1 of the Diary of 1931. 19 period covered is very difficult -- would take some 20 time to say offhand here, because the documents are not 21 filed chronologically. 22 "hat is the volume number? 23 A No. 1.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, it

will apparently take quite sometime to examine each of these volumes separately. Cannot this witness be directed to make his examination out of the box and reduce it to affidavit form and present it here this afternoon, which, it seems to me, would save a great deal of time.

THE PRESIDENT: It now appears that the witness cannot describe them as readily as the defense counsel anticipated, so the course you suggest should be followed, Mr. Tavenner.

MR. BLEWETT: That is agreeable, sir.

May the witness be released?

THE PRESIDENT: He is released on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. BLEWETT: I offer in evidence defense
document No. 626A-11, which is a portion of General
TOJO's interrogatory of March 11 not read by the prosecation. The portion read is exhibit No. 1137A, on
page 10,221 of the record.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 626A-11

will receive exhibit No. 3029.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 3029 and received

in evidence.) MR. BLEWETT: I shall read exhibit 3029: 2 What war preparations were pushed? 110 3 We were surrounded by Britain, America, "A and the Dutch. Preparations for an attack at any time 5 by them were pushed. 6 What preparations were made of an offen-. 110 7 sive nature during that period? 8 The nature of the preparations was de-IIA. 9 10 fensive. When were the first steps taken to pre-11 110 pare for any of the four offensive operations which 12 later were executed, as you have stated? 13 Preparations of a defensive nature were 14 15 begun from the time of the 6 September conference. 16 Regardless of the question of whether 17 they were offensive or defensive, is it not true that 18 preparations for the four attacks that you have pre-19 viously referred to were begun immediately after the 20 Imperial Conference of 6 September 1941? 21 No, not at all. From the time of the 22 Imperial Conference of 6 September 1941, we did not 23 know when we would be attacked by England, America, 24 and Holland; therefore preparations to resist attack 25

were planned. It was not until after the Imperial

attacks were planned. Strategically, Japan was on the defense; tactically, she was on the offense.

Japan was surrounded by much greater forces than she herself possessed. The big picture was that of defense. In actual fighting, she attacked.

* * * *

"Q In connection with the four operations which you have mentioned, who gave the Army and Navy Chiefs of Staff orders in connection therewith?

"A The orders were issued by the Emperor as Commander-in-Chief of the Army and Navy on the advice of the Army Chief of Staff and the Navy Chief of Staff. These have the responsibility of assisting the Throne and, by virtue of this responsibility, go to the Emperor with orders prepared and ask for his assent. When this is given, the orders are given to the Army commanders or, in the case of the Navy, to the Commander-in-Chief of the Combined Fleet. The responsibility is that of the Army Chief of Staff and the Navy Chief of Staff from first to last.

"Q On whose advice do the Army and Navy Chiefs of Staff write and present such orders to the Emperor?

"A The Chiefs of Staff have section chiefs

funder them. The section chiefs draw up the plans and, if approved by the Chief of Staff, they are presented to the Emperor. This is an important matter. The Imperial Conferences come in here. For example, when the Imperial Conference of 1 December decided upon war, the Chiefs of Staff then prepared the orders and took them to the Emperor for approval and the cabinet also made various preparations.

been taken nor orders issued relative to the four operations which you have mentioned until after the Imperial Conference of 1 December 1941? Do you understand the question fully?

"A I understand the question all right.

The national will for war was decided for the first time on 1 December 1941. I do not believe, as Premier, that any orders were issued for war previous to that time.

"Q The question does not refer to orders in connection with war, but to orders or action taken in connection with the four attacks. Were such orders given or actions taken before the Imperial Conference of 1 December 1941?

"A I believe, as Premier and as War Minister, that it is unlikely that any orders were issued

in connection with those four attacks previous to the decision for war that I have mentioned. I believe that it is improbable that orders were issued to carry out these attacks prior to the national decision for war.

"O Do you mean to say that everything in connection with those four attacks, including planning, deployment, and execution, took place within the one week following the Imperial Conference of 1 December 1941?

"A With regard to their execution, I do.

As for plans, that is something else. Fvery national state has a plan of defense. This plan is revised from time to time in accordance with the situation.

There was a great deal of tenseness just before the Imperial Conference of 1 December 1941. The situation was changing all the time and the plans were changed accordingly, but the orders for execution of those plans, I believe, were not issued until after the decision for war.

"Q What about deployment?

"A You must remember that there were other objectives too. The China Incident was in progress and there were troop movements in connection with the joint defense of French Indo-China. For those purposes,

a variety of dispositions were required. However, the carrying out of attacks against England or America would not, I believe, have preceded the decision for war. Defense against possible British-American-Dutch attacks also had to be throught of in connection with the national plan of defense. I think that the attacks against England, America, and Holland would probably have to have followed the decision of 1 December 1941, which expressed the national will."

I call the witness IIMURA, Minoru.

1	MINORU IIMURA, called as a witness in be-
	half of the defense, being first duly sworn, tes-
	tified through Japanese interpreters as follows:
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	QUESTIONS BY MR. BLEWETT:
	Q Will you please state your name and your address
7	A My name is IIMURA, Jo, (Minoru); address 2-501
	Soshigaya, Setagaya-Ku, Tokyo.
	MR. BLEWETT: I ask that the witness be shown
	defense document 164.
	(Whereupon, a document was shown to
	the witness.)
	Q I ask you if that is your affidavit and if you
	The and the one?
	A As you say.
5	Q Are the contents therein true and correct?
,	A They are true and correct.
В	MR. BLEWETT: I offer in evidence, if the Tri-
9	bunal please, defense document 164.
0	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
1	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 164 will
2	receive defense exhibit number 3030.
23	(Whereupon, the document above referred
24	to was marked defense exhibit No. 3030 and receiv-
25	ed in evidence.)

.

MR. BLEWETT: I shall read exhibit 3030:
"I was Director of the Total Warfare Institute
from January 1941 to October of the same year.

"There were institutes in other countries similar or kindred to the Total Warfare Institute. Following their example, the Total Warfare Institute of our country was founded in the autumn of 1940 (the 15th year of SHOWA).

"In the government organization regarding the Total Warfare Institute, it was prescribed that, under the Premier's control, it shall take charge of the fundamental investigation and research into total warfare, and the education and training of officials and others concerning the nation's total warfare. However, its actual operational management was as given in the following articles.

"All the Ministries, the army and navy, not to speak of Premier KONOYE who was responsible for administrating this Institute and was my direct superior, were scarcely interested in this Institute, therefore, during my incumbency, I received no instruction, advice, or suggestion concerning the business of this institute from any of the above-mentioned quarters or persons. Consequently, its business, in accordance with the stipulations of the above-mentioned government organi-

zations, was carried out from an independent standpoint.

"As the first step, education and training were undertaken. In instructing its students, the conventional memorizing cramming system was ignored; developmental and practical education was sought after.

"The main subjects of education and training comprised lectures on fundamental knowledge concerning various fields such as politics, economics, thought and military science, physical education; theoretical (hypothetical) exercises, inspections and tours were utilized simultaneously.

"Even when I first joined the Institute, there existed the training program in theoretical exercises which was one of the annual functions of this Institute. In carrying out this plan, no person, except staff members of this Institute, gave instruction or guidance. The apportioned term was about one month.

"The reason why current conditions were adopted in our hypotheses given at the beginning of the theoretical exercises was that if the circumstances of a period other than the current had been adopted, it would have been difficult for the students to imagine themselves operating under assigned circumstances and to imagine the hypothetic operations, particularly the intricate numerical basis.

,

"The various conditions arising from the hypotheses were no more than suppositional situations adopted
for the sake of exercises. The object of the exercises
was, not the suppositional situations themselves, but
training in coordinated actions based on those situations

"The hypotheses and the consequent suppositional situations have no connection with the actual policies of the authorities concerned. It was because actual matters of this sort were known to no one except the authorities concerned who would never have dilvulged them.

"As these exercises were partly open to the public, it was impossible and not permissible to utilize the actual policies of the government.

"The reason why the accounts of the exercises were marked 'strictly confidential' was because they contained some secret national statistics.

"In accordance with the administrative procedure of the institute, the result of this research was probably reported to the Cabinet which was directly in charge of this Institute, but never reported it to other quarters, to say nothing of the other ministries or the military; we were never instructed by them.

"As anybody will see from a glance at the documents. the utilization of the results of this study in

actual policies was out of the question because of the lack of time necessary for scrutiny and because of the above stated aims.

"Students of this Institute, who were first selected by all Ministers and certain civil organizations at the request of this Institute, then examined by the Institute and submitted to the Cabinet, were selected and formally appointed by the Cabinet as students of this Institute.

"They were all young men whose average age was about 32, and none held a responsible position in any Ministry. There was one judge among them but he was also a young man like the others and was in a low grade.

"The budget of this Institute was ¥160,000 a year, and a half of it was for personal expenses and the other half for office expenses. The budget was too small for making any activity possible.

"In short, this Institute would seem to be considerably important so far as its name and stipulations in the organization were concerned, but it was, in fact, nothing but an institute for educating junior officials. And from various standpoints it was not possible to educate them successfully. Not a single result of their study was utilized in drawing up practical policies or war plans.

"With a view to obtaining assistance from various quarters, a councillor system was adopted by this
Institute in May or June, 1941; but these councillors
were entirely nominal personnel registered only as
members.

"We received no direction or suggestion from
the iccuse; KIMURA, SUZUKI, OR HOSHINO in connection
with business of this Institute."

Any questions?

JE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY BRIGADIER QUILLIAM:

Q You were the first director, were you not, of the Institute?

A Yes.

Q But for a period before your appointment the accused HOSHINO acted as director, did he not?

A Yes.

Q What appointment did you hold when you were appointed director?

A Do you mean before my appointment?

Q Before your appointment.

A I was Chief of Staff of the Quantum Army, and for a short while after that I was attached to Gen-

20

.

22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

23

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

eral Staff Headquarters.

Q You relinquished your appointment, did you not, to take up your appointment of director?

A Yes.

Q At that time your rank was that of Lieutenant-General, was it not?

A Yes.

Q And I think that when you relinquished the appointment of director you were appointed to command the Fifth Army in Manchuria, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q You held that appointment for about two years, and then were appointed director of the Military War College, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Is it a fact that the Cabinet felt primarily responsible for the establishment of the Institute?

A Yes.

Q And is it a fact, also, that the exercises had as their background, I mean the exercises conducted by the Institute, had as their background the international and domestic situation Japan was then facing, or expected to face?

A The students were trained with that as the basis.

Q And they examined, did they not, and discussed

.

13

16 17

15

18

20

21

22

24

the national problems which would necessarily arise in case Japan moved into the Southwest Pacific?

A Yes.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: That is all, thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam, didn't we hear something about top secret documents in respect of that Institute's operations?

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: I think we will adjourn now until half past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200 a recess was taken.)

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

MINORU IIMURA, resumed the stand.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please your Honor, referring to the question asked by your Honor just before the recess, your Honor's recollection is quite correct. This is shown by exhibit 1354. This exhibit shows that of ninety-eight publications of the Institute, the existence of which is known to the prosecution, all except three bear the classification "Top Secret," "Secret," or "Confidential."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Tribunal please, Mr. ABE, counsel for General KIMURA, has asked to cross-examine the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Cross-examine?

MR. ABE: I am ABE, counsel for the defendant

KIMURA.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal,

it is submitted that this cross-examination should have taken place in accordance with the rule before I cross-examined.

THE PRESIDENT: If we thought KIMURA were prejudiced and any oversight occurred we would allow cross-examination, I am sure; but how is KIMURA prejudiced?

MR. ABE: This concerns not only KIMURA individually but it is concerned with the defendants as a whole.

THE PRESIDENT: This evidence is called in behalf of all the defendants. What has he said to the prejudice of any defendant or accused?

MR. ABE: As I said before, it is not in reference to KIMURA alone but concerning all the defendants as a whole.

THE PRESIDENT: You cannot cross-examine the witness called on behalf of all the accused. He has said nothing hostile; he has not been declared hostile. There is no occasion to do so; nobody suggests he should be.

MR. ABE: If it please the Tribunal, during the cross-examination by the prosecutor a moment ago there were a few points the meaning of which were not clear and I should like to clarify such points at this

IIMURA 27,076

time. There were several points in the reply to the 1 prosecutor's cross-examination which were not clear 2 and I should like to clarify this point now. THE PRESIDENT: They do not affect the accused KIMURA any more than anybody else and in those circumstances the re-examination must be conducted by Mr. Blewett. 7 Your application is refused. 8 MR. ABY: Yes, sir. 9 MR. BLEWETT: Mr. MIGITA, attorney for 10 Mr. HOSHINO, has asked permission to ask the witness 11 a question or two. 12 13 THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam. 14 BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the 15 Tribunal, I must make the same objection. 16 MR. MIGITA: During the prosecutor's cross-17 examination a reply was given that the defendant 18 HOSHINO was the first director of the said Institute. 19 THE PRESIDENT: It was not. He said he 20 acted as director for a time. Do you deny that? 21 MR. MIGITA: No, I do not deny that. What I 22 propose to do is to bring out the fact that when the work of this said Institute actually began--

THE PRESIDENT: That has nothing to do with

your accused more particularly. It does not affect him

IIMURA 27,077

```
in any way as far as I can judge.
1
            Your application is refused. The objection
2
   is upheld.
            Mr. Blewett.
 4
            MR. BLEWETT: May the witness be excused,
5
   your Honor?
            THE PRESIDENT: He is excused on the usual
7
   terms.
8
                 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
9
            MR. BLEWETT: I offer in evidence defense
10
11
   document No. 592, which is a chart depicting the
12
   entire Japanese military organization in December
13
   1941. I shall not read any portion of the document
14
   but believe it will be helpful to the Tribunal for
15
   reference relative to subsequent evidence to be
16
   offered.
17
            THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
18
            CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 592
19
   will receive exhibit No. 3031.
20
                (Whereupon, the document above
21
        referred to was marked defense exhibit
22
        No. 3031 and received in evidence.)
23
            MR. BLEWETT: In connection with the chart
   I refer to the evidence of FUGITA, page 17,550
25
   relative to the relations between the High Command
```

and the military administration, also to the testimony of SAWAMOTO, record, page 26,415, relative to the relationship between the navy High Command and the administration.

Defense document 1251 is introduced in evidence to show the respective jurisdictions and responsibilities of army commander, army Chief of Staff and division commander as well as the rules and regulations concerning the transmission of all orders and submission of daily bulletins and reports. I shall read certain pertinent articles in this.

I offer defense document No. 1251.
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, objection is made to the introduction of this document. The objection is made to it in its entirety. The document gives a detailed outline of the form of organization of the superior headquarters and the duties of each of the several branches and subdivisions, for example, the army veterinarian department, the army legal department, the movement of headquarters while troops are operating, and the routine duties of headquarters, including the form and nature of reports to be made. It shows what parts are to be kept in red ink and what in black ink and many other

details. This type of document has been repeatedly rejected by the Tribunal. All countries have comparable detailed regulations for the operation of military headquarters. We view it as being entirely irrelevant and having no bearing on any of the issues in this case.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Tribunal please, as I stated, I was intending to read only a few paragraphs from this document if it is accepted in evidence, particularly with reference--

MR. BLEWETT: It shows the responsibilities down through the chain of command, particularly division commander and the Chief of Staff. In one portion of the document there is a reference made to the treatment of prisoners of war and the office under whose jurisdiction they come. From out of a document of thirty-nine pages I refer to but eight pages, and will only read a portion of that.

THE PRESIDENT: The Geneva Convention fixes the responsibility for prisoners of war in great detail. That Convention cannot be repealed by any national regulations.

MR. BLEWETT: A general over-all picture,

no doubt, your Honor; but it seems that perhaps the Japanese system is a bit different from the ordinary inasmuch as it seems here to come under the adjutant's office.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett, my attention has been directed to regulation 6-b dealing with the parts of documents which you propose to rely upon.

MR. BLEWETT: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Has that been observed here? The purpose of the rule is to require you to serve the prosecution and the Judges with parts of the documents upon which you propose to rely but here we have a document of 29 pages, I think, and as far as we understand, you propose to rely on only a small portion of it.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, rule 6-b has not been complied with but prosecution did not object on that ground because we thought we could dispose of the document on the objection that I made.

21

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

MR. BLEWETT: Sir, I did not take 6-b in that way. The procedure for the past several months has been the introduction of a document and the reading of only portions which we thought would be pertinent although the entire document was entered.

THE PRESIDENT: We are in this position now, that we do not know which part you propose to rely on, except to the extent that you have described it in a few words.

MR. BLEWETT: As I say, the portions to be read are not excerpts. It is an entire document, the whole document for submission, but I was simply going to read, as we have in the past, the most important portions of that which I thought would be more helpful to the Tribunal.

anything that we think helps, although there may be, as one Member of the Court thinks, some important parts. State more fully, Mr. Blewett, the nature of the parts on which you rely and the issues to which they are relevant.

MR. BLEWETT: I intended to read Article 1, which gives the responsibilities of the army commander. Article 4, which refers to the army administration department. Article 1 is one paragraph

dealing with general rules; Article 4, duties of the area army headquarters; Article 7 on page 2; Article 9 on the same page; and 10, which is very short, dealing with the army commander and the army Chief of Staff; Article 55 on page 14, dealing with the division commander; Article 111 on page 31, dealing with the transmission of orders; and 124, which is the last one, on page 35, relative to documents between the army commander and the division com-10 mander. THE PRESIDENT: It is all a routine matter 11 -- ordinary routine matter. By a majority the Court upholds the objec-13 14 tion and rejects the document. 15 MR. BLEWETT: I offer in evidence defense 16 document No. 626A-1, an excerpt from the interroga-17 tion of General TOJO of 30 January 1946, referring 18 to military organization. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 20 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 626A-1 21 will receive exhibit No. 3032. 22 (Whereupon, the document above 23 referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 24 3032 and received in evidence.) 25 MR. BLEWETT: I shall read exhibit 3032:

"Answer: Yes. The former did influence the latter. You must remember that the military setup in Japan" --

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett, that answer is not intelligible without knowing what was said in the previous question there. What is the question?

MR. BLEWETT: The question had reference to another matter and this is the only part that has reference to the military organization.

THE PRESIDENT: Read it; it is admitted.

MR. BLEWETT: I can shorten it up:

"You must remember that the military setup in Japan is very different from that in America. The Chief of Staff is independent of the War Department in matters of command, and also from the point of view of organization. He is not subordinate to the War Minister but is equal to him. Hence, this atmosphere which permeated the Army was undoubtedly communicated to the War Minister by the Chief of Staff and communicated to Cabinet deliberations by the War Minister. However, I repeat again that this was not a clique or a faction. I might explain to you the Japanese system as regards the position of the Emperor, the Chief of Staff, the War Minister, and the Commander in Chief of the Japanese Army.

All matters of command passed from the Emperor to the Chief of Staff to the Commander in Chief. All matters of military administration passed from the Emperor via the War Minister to the Commander in Chief. The Emperor could also issue Imperial ordinances. At this time, the China Incident was in progress and therefore a great many decisions passed from the Emperor through the Chief of Staff to the Commander in Chief and the Chief of Staff was an exceedingly important individual. The relevance of this explanation to the question which was asked is as follows: The Chief of Ftaff was naturally cognizant of the pro-Axis feeling in the Army and necessarily informed the War Minister of the fact. The latter then introduced the subject of the Army's feelings into the Cabinet discussions where they had some influence upon the decisions taken. In Japan, the position of the Chief of Staff is not at all the same as the position of the Chief of Staff in America. The Chief of Staff in Japan, it is true, does not attend Cabinet meetings. However, his view on a multitude of matters relating to strategy are conveyed to the Cabinet via the War Minister and, generally speaking, he is of equal standing with the War Minister and not subordinate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

.

to him." THE PRESIDENT: Can you supply the question 2 to which that was the answer? 3 MR. BLEWETT: I shall supply that, sir. 4 THE PRESIDENT: Have you got it handy? 5 MR. BLEWETT: I shall send for it, sir. In the meantime, I offer defense document 7 No. 626A-8, which is an excerpt from the interroga-8 tion of General TOJO of 13 March 1946, and which has reference to the military chart just accepted 10 in evidence. 11 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 12 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 626A-8 13 will receive exhibit No. 3033. 14 (Whereupon, the document above 15 16 referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 17 3033 and received in evidence.) 19 20 21 22 23 24

FR. DLEWETT: I shall read exhibit 3033.

"Q This is all very helpful to us as we are attempting to fully understand the Japanese political and military systems, and we thank you for your assistance.

"A This matter of the relation of the cabinet authority to that of the Supreme Command is very basic. If it is not understood, nothing will be understood.

"Q As Premier and War Minister, were you a member of the Supreme Command, the Board of Marshals and Fleet Admirals, and of the Supreme War Council?

"A As War Minister, I was not a member of the Supreme Command, but I was a participant. I was concerned with military administration but not with the conduct of operations or tactics. I was not a member of the Board of Marshals and Fleet Admirals. However, I was, along with the Chiefs of Staff, a member of the Supreme War Council.

"I am saying what follows here, not by way of excuse or to avoid responsibility because I hate the idea of trying to avoid responsibility. I wish to take full responsibility, but this is only a factual explanation of a real situation in order to assist you in your investigation. When Prince KONOYE was Premier,

it was very, very difficult for him because of the fact that his sphere of authority included only the civil sphere. Then I became Premier, since I was concurrently far Minister, I had, in addition, a voice in purely military matters, to wit: in military administration. Then I became Chief of Staff on 22 February 1944, soon after the fall of the Marianas, I had an additional segment of authority in regard to purely military affairs. In fact after that, only the purely naval matters were outside of my authority. As Premier, I had full responsibility for civil affairs; as War Minister, I had the additional military function of military administration; as Chief of Staff, I had the further High Command functions of operations and tactics.

Japan is basic to the understanding of such things as the China Incident and the matters in southern Indo-China that we have talked about. It the first, the government policy in regard to the China Incident was that of localization. However, due to the fact of the independence of the High Command, the fighting kept spreading as they strove for victory. Premier KONOYE had a terrible time.

"One other thing I think is important:

Under the Japanese system, the Emperor was assisted by the following officials: Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal; the Lord Chamberlain; and the Imperial Household Minister. He also had a Chief Aide-de-Camp. The Lord Privy Seal was the Emperor's adviser on matter relating to civil affairs. The Grand Chamberlain and the Imperial Household Minister had no direct connection with either the civil government or the Supreme Command. The Grand Chamberlain was adjutant to His Majesty; as such, he had a variety of duties. However, neither he nor the Imperial Household Minister had any direct connection with either the civil government or the High Command. This was particularly true in the case of the former, since he was a civil official and not a military man. The Emperor's Aide-de-Camp, being a full general, had unofficial access to the Supreme Command, but, under the government system itself, he had actually no authority for direct liaison.

The Emperor did confer directly with the Doard of Marshals and Fleet Admirals. However, this body was not very active since most of the members were of advanced years. Therefore, in practice, the Emperor was in a difficult position. He had no one on his staff to help him on High Command matters. When

25

1

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the Premier and the various cabinet members reported to the Emperor on civil matters he could consult the Lord Privy Seal. Then the Chiefs of Staff reported to him in regard to command problems, he had nobody to consult except the Doard of Marshals and Fleet Admirals.

"The responsibility of the Premier and the Foreign, War, and Navy Ministers, and the President of the Planning Board, together with the Chiefs of Staff, for advice to the Emperor, through the instrumentality of the Liaison Conferences and Imperial Conferences, was of tremendous importance. To return to the China Incident, for example - the Government policy was a policy of nonenlargement of the incident; nevertheless, because of the fact that the civil government had no authority over the Supreme Command, the fighting was in fact enlarged and the civil government was powerless to prevent it."

Sir, the question about the response on exhibit 3032 was this:

"Q Was there not a relation between this atmosphere in the army and the decision to sign the
Tripartite Pact?"

THE PRESIDENT: What atmosphere?

IR. DLEWETT: I have to go back, I suppose,

to the previous answer.

THE PRESIDENT: Give us enough to make the answer intelligible.

MR. BLEWETT: Sir, just prior to that they were talking about officers, and the question preceding that which called for quite a lengthy answer was:

"Q Was there not a large number of army officers who were pro-Axis?"

Now, in answer to that:

Axis for a variety of reasons. One important reason was that the Japanese bad followed the Prussian military system since the time of the Franco-Prussian War. Defore that they had followed the French system.

During World War I, even though Germany was defeated, generally speaking German military strategy and tactics were considered excellent. A second reason was the diplomatic isolation which I spoke of yesterday. A third reason was that the military situation had developed in a manner comparatively favorable to the Axis, and this had a bearing on the decision."

THE PLESIDENT: Did the prosecution give that answer?

That was the answer by General TOJO.

THE PRESIDENT: Did the prosecution tender it? 1 MR. BLEWETT: No, sir. There is a small portion of the answer re-3 4 maining: 5 "I wish to emphasize, however, that there was 6 such a pro-Axis atmosphere in army; there was no fac-7 tion or clique." 8 I offer in evidence defense document 1501, 9 the affidavit of Alfred F. Kritschner, former German 10 Military Attache in Japan, which concerns the be-11 stowal of German military decorations on Japanese 12 Army officers. 13 I understand the prosecution has waived cross-14 examination of this witness. 15 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner. 16 MR. TAVENNER: As this witness was desired 17 for repatriation we waived cross-examination. 18 Mi. DLEWETT: I offer in evidence defense 19 document 1501. 20 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 21 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1501 22 will receive exhibit No. 3034. 23 24 (Whereupon, the document above 25 referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 3034 and received in evidence.)

.

MR. DLEWETT: I shall read exhibit 3034:

"Concerning German decorations for Japanese
Army officers:

"I was German military attache in Tokyo from December 4, 1940 until May 8, 1945.

"The German Foreign Office was competent to grant my request made through the German Ambassador for the bestowal of German decorations upon Japanese Army officers. The General Foreign office was very reserved in granting such orders. Its reluctance was caused by two reasons:

"1. It wished to limit as much as possible the number of German decorations destowed upon foreigners in order to increase the value of the Order of the German Eagle, it being the only decoration destined to be granted to foreigners in diplomatic courtesy routine.

"2. The German Foreign Office aspired to full reciprocity from the Japanese side. The German request was refused by the Japanese Foreign Office which always pointed to the fact that the different classes of the German Eagle were bestoyed upon foreigners only, while on the other hand the Japanese docorations, Rising Sun and Sacrod Treasure, were worn by Japanese as well as by foreigners.

3 4

7 8

"Neither the German Ambassador nor I regarded the maintenance of reciprocity in number between German and Japanese decorations which was so strictly required by the German Foreign Office as essential. I was much more interested in the creating and maintenance of a favorable atmosphere surrounding the Japanese officers who were assigned to work with me. The desired atmosphere was enhanced by the bestowal of occasional decorations. Sometimes the Japanese War Ministry or General Staff mentioned to me which officers they wished to be distinguished by a German decoration. Most times such hints coincided with my own intentions. Usually, the Japanese officers thus mentioned to me for a German decoration did not know anything about it themselves and were often surprised when they received the order. I regarded these mutual German and Japanese decorations neither as a reward nor as a bribery but as an act of diplomatic courtesy without much significance.

"To overcome the aforementioned resistance of the German Foreign Office, I regarded it necessary to word the arguments for German decorations upon Japanese officials in somewhat exaggerated terms. Which class of the German and Japanese decorations (second class, third class, cross, grand cross) was

3 4

2

5

7

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

19

20

22

23

to be recommended depended in pursuance of the statutes of the orders, first and foremost upon the rank of the officer to be honored and not on his more or less important personal merits. This fact created a paradox in that it was impossible to decorate German or Japanese lieutenant colonels because the German and Japanese Foreign Office had not been able to agree not to classify them, whether as colonels or as majors.

"In regard to the German Embassy's telegram of May 17, 1942, the wording of the telegram was not made by me. I had handed Ambassador Ott my proposals for orders to be conferred upon General SUGIYAMA, Lt. Gen. KIMURA, Lt. Gen. MUTO, Maj. Gen. SATO, and some other officers not entered into this telegram.

"I had proposed these officers more on account of their position in the Japanese Army than because of their special accomplishment in favor of the German Army. Other officers in corresponding positions, for instance Maj. Gen. OKAMOTO, then Chief of Staff, in charge of G-2 department of the General Staff, had not been recommended by me as they had already received the German decoration.

"That I asked for a decoration for Lt. Gen.

KASIMARA, I do not remember. I do not remember the wording of my proposals for the aforementioned four officers handed by me to the Ambassador, but I must have been close to the wording chosen by him in paragraphs 3-6 of the telegram. In order to overcome the German Foreign Office's reluctance, I regarded the superlative to be necessary where the positive would have been more correct and more correspondent to the simple reality. And the Ambassador added superlatives in his additional explanations."

Signed, "Kretschmer."

No. 2131, which is the formal agreement between Thailand and Japan of an offensive and defensive alliance.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2131

will receive exhibit No. 3035.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 3035 and received in evidence.)

MR. DLEWETT: I shall read exhibit 3035.

"The undersigned, duly authorized by their respective Governments, agree as follows:

2 3

"1. In order to cope with the urgent situation in the East Asia, Thailand shall give to Japan the permission of passage by the Japanese forces through the Thai territory and giving of all necessary facilities for the said passage as well as immediate execution of measures to avoid every possible conflict which may arise between the Japanese and the Thai forces. "2. The particulars for the execution of 9 the precedent paragraph shall be agreed upon between 10 the military authorities of the two countries. 11 Japan shall guarantee that the inde-12 "3. pendence, sovereignty and honour of Thailand be 13 14 respected. "Done, in duplicate, at Bangkok on the 8th 15 16 December, 1941." 17 Page 2 of that exhibit: 18 "At Dangkok, Dec. 8, 1941. 19 "Your Excellency TSUBOKAMI, Teiji, the 20 Japanese Ambassador: 21 "I have duly received Your Excellency's 23 note dated this day notifying me to the following 23 effect. 24 "I hereby inform you for caution's sake

that I have affixed my signature to the agreement

3

6

7

8

which has been signed this day between the Government of Japan and Thailand.

"And I suggest that the Government of Japan will take adequate measures necessary for confirming the above agreement as soon as possible."

MR. BLEWETT: I call the witness AKANE. 1 2 KAZUO AKANE, called as a witness on 3 behalf of the defense, being first duly 4 sworn, testified through Japanese inter-5 preters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. BLEWETT: 8 Q Will you please give us your name and 9 address? 10 My name is AKANE, Kazuo. My address, 351 1-chome, Tamagawa, Okuzawa-machi, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo. 12 Q May the witness be shown defense document 13 No. 1665, please. Is that your affidavit, and did 14 15 you sign it? 16 It is mine. I signed it. Are the contents therein true and correct? 17 18 Yes, they are. A MR. BLEWETT: I offer defense document 19 20 No. 1665 in evidence. 21 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 22 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense decument 1665 23 will receive exhibit No. 3036. 24 (Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit

7 8

No. 3036 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLEWETT: I shall read exhibit 3036.

"I was formerly a captain in the navy.

"From September, 1941, to August, 1943, I
was staff officer of the Shanghai District Naval Base
Force and concurrently staff officer of the Shanghai
Special Landing Force, both of which units were under
the command of the China Area Squadron. I participated
in the planning of operations in general in the
Shanghai area.

"The opening of operational movements involving the above forces on 8 December 1941 was as follows.

"a. In compliance with orders issued by
the Commander of the China Area Squadron, internal
preparations had been made a few days before the outbreak of war to provide against emergencies. All
forces were standing by for immediate action. However,
absolutely no information was provided as to date and
hour on commencing operations, until such time as
orders were received from Commander of the China Area
Squadron.

"The hour to commence operations was to be indicated separately.

"b. The occupation of the International

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24 25 Settlement in Shanghai was conducted chiefly by units attached to the Shanghai Special Landing Force from around 10:00 or 11:00 a.m. 8 December, Tokyo time, the same hereinafter, merchantmen in waters around Shanghai was carried out by units attached to the Shanghai District Naval Base Force from around 5:00 a.m. the same day.

"I was senior staff officer of both the
Fandking Force and Naval Base Force, and the commencement of operations was effected after orders to
commence action had been received (by phone) from China
Area Squadron Headquarters.

"From memory, I can explain on a sketch map as hereto attached the condition of the waterfront area of Shanghai, before and after the day when operations began."

You may question.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, the prosecution relies upon certain prosecution exhibits with reference to the matters testified to by this witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Your exhibits go back to 045.

MR. TAVENNER: The exhibits referred to are
the affidavit of Mr. Parr, exhibit 1227, page 10,608;
the testimony of Mr. Powell, 3,255 of the transcript;

the testimony of Mr. Crowder -- or, rather, his 1 affidavit, exhibit 1228, page 10,613 of the transcript; and exhibit 1222, page 10,544, items 9 and 18. THE PRESIDENT: Do they show the attack on the Bund as being at a quarter to one in the morning? 5 MR. TAVENNER: Yes, sir; these affidavits show 6 the initiation of the attack at the Bund, as well as 7 the operation against the Wake and the Petrel. 8 9 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett. 10 MR. BLEWETT: May the witness be excused, sir? THE PRESIDENT: He is excused on the usual 11 12 terms. 13 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 14 MR. BLEWETT: I call the witness YAMAMOTO. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

2

3

7 Ga

5

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

17

19 20

21

23

25

YOSHIO YAMAMOTO, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLEWETT:

Q What is your name and address, please?

A My name is YAMAMOTO, Yoshio. My address, 443, 2-chome, Koenji, Suginami-ku, Tokyo.

Q May the witness be shown defense document No. 1666. Is that your affidavit, and have you signed it?

A It is my affidavit. My signature is on it.

Q Are the contents therein true and correct?

A They are.

MR. BLEWETT: I offer in evidence defense document No. 1666.

THE RESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, your attention is directed to the middle paragraph on page 3 relating to the number of persons killed on the Petrel. Objection is made to that part of the paragraph beginning with the words, "If it is true..." on the ground that it is an effort to forswear the issues in the case.

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24 25 "3. In conformity with this order, the Chinese Area Squadron passed instructions to forces under its command, to commence operations only after direct report on the Pearl Harbor attack by the Combined Squadron had been received.

preparations were made to cope with any emergency which may arise. However, the date and hour to commence military operations was kept in absolute secrecy to all forces under our command. Therefore, all forces under the command of the squadron, made preparations and stood by in readiness until orders to commence operations were received.

"5. As I have already stated, we had received orders strictly prohibiting the beginning of operations prior to the Pearl Harbor attack. Therefore, we acted in obedience to that order.

"It was after report had been received by radio at Squadron Headquarters, to the effect that we were successful in the attack against Hawaii, when orders to commence operations were communicated to forces under our command.

"6. I shall next make a statement on the attack against the British warship Petrel.

"Around 0520 hours 8 December 1941 (Tokyo

time; following hours will be the same) the commander of the Chinese Area Squadron dispatched emissaries to the U. S. Warship Wake and the British Warship Petrel. The emissaries explained to the effect that Japan had entered a state of war with the U. S. and Britain. They advised the commanding officers of these warships to surrender their ships and crew. Moreover, if our terms were not accepted they warned them of an inevitable attack.

"As the Petrel did not accept our terms it was attacked and sunk. Viz: Around two hours prior to the attack, Japan and Britain had entered a state of belligerence. Despite the fact sufficient measures were dealt out to avoid any unnecessary bloodshed, due to the reason the commanding officer of the Petrel refused to accept our terms, the attack was unavoidably effected.

"The U. S. Warship Wake, which faced exactly the same predicament escaped the attack because it accepted our lawful disposal.

"As a result of this attack, three naval service men on board the Petrel were killed.

"7. To explain details concerning the attack, it is as follows.

"A few minutes after 0330 hours on 8 December

)

1941, the Chinese Area Squadron Headquarters received confirmed reports on the Pearl Harbor attack and the landing operations on the Malayan Peninsula. Immediately two emissary boats were prepared at the wharf in front of our headquarters. Commander Inaho OTANI and Lt. Commander Sakuji MATSUMOTO, who were both staff officers attached to Squadron Headquarters, boarded the emissary boats. Hoisting a flag of truce the emissaries departed at 0515 hours for the British Warship Petrel, and the U. S. Warship Wake. Commander OTANI who headed for the Petrel reached the ship a few minutes before the other emissary. He presented to the commanding officer of the Petrel, a letter written in English to the following effect.

"'Today, just now, Japan has entered a state of war with the U. S. and Britain. We advise you and your ship and crew to surrender to the Japanese Navy. If you refuse to accept our terms we shall immediately commence hostile actions.'

"That was the contents of the letter of advice addressed to the commanding officer of the Petrel from the commander of the Chinese Area Squadron, Admiral KOGA. The report made by the emissary was as follows.

"The captain of the Petrel was absent when we arrived. An officer who apparently was (but not

certain) the second in command was on duty as senior 1 officer on board. His reply was, "The captain of 2 this ship is away at present and we cannot answer." Whereupen cur emissary said, "In the absence of the 4 captain, the senior officer who is present should naturally take charge of the ship. Is it not the same with the British Navy?" Our emissary requested 8 an answer. The senior officer present replied, "No, 9 we will not surrender" and refused to accept our sur-10 render terms. Therefore, the emissary said, "Then we shall attack." He left the ship and immediately fired a red very-pistol according to previous arrangements ' 14 "When members of the Squardron Headquarters 15 saw this signal, it was contrary to general expectations and some even thought the signal was a mistake.

"But upon confirming it was not a mistake, order to open attack was communicated to all nearby forces. At the order to open fire, the gunboat Toba, destroyer Hasu, etc. went into action and within two or three minutes the Petrel burst into flames.

"The officer commanding the attack was Vice-Admiral MAKITA, Commander of the Shanghai Base Corps. After first firing a few shots, the Commander

3

5

7

11

12

13

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

(Vice-Admiral MAKITA) ordered a temporary cease-firing. The attack was soon resumed. In approximately ten minutes, the Petrel sank. According to the report from Vice-Admiral MAKITA later on, I learned the reason why he ordered a temporary suspension of firing. It was to ascertain whether or not the Petrel would thon surrender, and thereby refrain from unnecessary shelling. "However, the guns mounted on the Petrel 9

were being trained against our ships and her crew was observed taking a defiant attitude and so the attack was resumed."

THE PRESIDENT: We will now recess for fifteen minutes.

Signed ward-lifetely Members of the Arriv Bacagreen

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Read and last our nearby 'Thotas.' The greater sales in

18

21

W 1 0 2 f 3 & 4 L e f 6 e 7

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Tribunal please, I am on the bottom of page 5:

"8. Emissary Lt.-Comdr. MATSUMOTO reached the U.S. warship Wake only a few minutes after Commander OTANI reached the Petrel. It was witnessed by the members of Squadron Headquarters. The report of the emissary to the U.S. warship Wake is summarized as follows.

"'In almost the same manner as in the case of the Petrel the letter advising surrender was delivered to the Captain of the Wake. (I remember the Captain of the Wake being present.) The Captain of the Wake hesitated at first to make a reply, but after a while answered, "Yes," and accepted our terms. Therefore, our emissary left the ship and fired a whitesignal very-pistol. Members of the Area Squadron Headquarters breathed a sign of relief when they saw the signal.

"9. Later on, according to the story of one crew member of the Petrel, when the attack began, the crew members jumped into the river and swam ashore to seek shelter on nearby 'Paoton.' The greater majority

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

of the crew was saved but it was said that three were either killed or wounded. (Memory on figure of deaths and injuries is uncertain.)

"10. Summarizing the whole situation, the operation of hostile actions against the British warship Petrel at Shanghai was carried out fair and square, faithfully abiding by international law. Moreover, utmost effort had been exerted with a view to minimize the inflicting of unnecessary casualties.

"11. Now I shall state about the advance upon the international settlement south of the Soochow River.

"Around 0700 hours on Dec. 8, 1941, in order to make a previous arrangement with the authorities of the Shanghai-Municipal Council concerning the advance on the international settlement, Consul-General HORIUCHI, as delegate of Japan visited the Municipal Council, accompanying Colonel SAKATA, staffofficer of the 13th corps and Rear-Admiral HARA, subchief of staff of the China Sea Fleet, in the capacity of representatives of the army and navy respectively.

"About one hour later, together with the Commander-in-Chief, I heard the report of Rear-Admiral HARA. According to his report the authorities of the

Municipal Council agreed to our intentions to advance the troop, peacefully and further expressed the intention to the effect that the Municipal Council itself would endeavor to preserve public order and also would cooperate with us in advancing. The arrangement fixed 1100 hours as the time of starting of advance.

"In conformity to this prearrangement, at 1100 just the military and naval troops crossed over the Soochow River, entered the international Settlement and seized the buildings necessitated. Even if the troops were in arms, they advanced as in usual marching formation."

Any questions?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, the prosecution desires to refer to the same documents, prosecution documents in evidence as referred to in connection with exhibit 3036, in consideration of which we do not desire to cross-examine.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Tribunal please, a sworn deposition has -- oh, pardon me.

May the witness be excused?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

24

receive exhibit No. 3038-A for identification only. 2 (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3038-A for identification.) 5 MR. BLEWETT: "The second is the Secret-Great-Diary of the War Ministry, 1931, Volume VI," marked "Document B." I offer that for identification only. 8 CLERK OF THE COURT: Document B will receive exhibit No. 3038-B for identification only. 10 (Whereupon, the document above 11 referred to was marked defense exhibit 12 No. 3038-B for identification.) 13 MR. BLEWETT: "The third is the File of 14 Permanent Records of the War Ministry, 1931, Otsu, 15 Section 3," marked "Document C," which I offer for 16 identification only. 17 CLERK OF THE COURT: Document C will receive 18 exhibit No. 3038-C for identification only. 19 (Whereupon, the document above 20 referred to was marked defense exhibit 21 No. 3038-C for identification.) 22

MR. BLEWETT: "The fourth is Item No. 379

(1 bundle), according to the number given by the Washington Document Center. It is entitled as the Army-Asia-Secret-Great-Diary, 1942, Volume V," marked

9

23

24

"Document D," which I offer for identification. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document D will receive exhibit No. 3038-D for identification only. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3038-D for identification.) MR. BLEWETT: "The fifth and sixth are the Manchuria-Incoming-Great-Diary ordinary of the War Ministry, 1931, parts I and III," marked "Documents E and F," which I offer for identification. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense documents E and 11 F will receive exhibit Nos. 3038-E and F for identi-12 fication only. (Whereupon, the documents above 14 referred to were marked defense exhibit 15 16 Nos. 3038-E and 3038-F for identification.) 17 MR. BLEWETT: "The seventh is the Manchuria-18 Secret-Great-Diary of the War Ministry, 1931, Volume I," 19 marked "Document G," which I offer for identification. 20 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document G will 21 receive exhibit No. 3038-G for identification only. 22 (Whereupon, the document above 23 referred to was marked defense exhibit 24 No. 3038-G for identification.) MR. BLEWETT: "The eighth is the Secret-Great-

Diary of the War Ministry, 1931, Volume II," marked 1 "Document H," which is offered for identification. 2 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document H will 3 receive exhibit No. 3038-H for identification only. 4 (Whereupon, the document above 5 referred to was marked defense exhibit 6 No. 3038-H for identification.) 7 MR. BLEWETT: "The ninth is the Secret-Great-8 Diary of the War Ministry, 1931, Volume III," which is 9 marked "Document I," is offered for identification. 10 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document I will 11 receive exhibit No. 3038-I for identification only. 12 (Whereupon, the document above 13 referred to was marked defense exhibit 14 No. 3038-I for identification.) 15 MR. BLEWETT: "The tenth is the Secret-Great-16 Diary of the War Ministry, 1931, Volume V," marked 17 "Document J," which is offered for identification. 18 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document J will 19 receive exhibit No. 3038-J for identification only. 20 (Whereupon, the document above 21 referred to was marked defense exhibit 22 No. 3038-J for identification.) 23 24 (Reading) MR. BLEWETT: "I certify hereby that all the above documents 25

were formerly kept at the War Ministry and later dolivered to the Washington Document Center." These are all documents that have been for-warded to defense counsel in accord with Order 963 and 964. A search is being made for additional documents which will be presented upon their receipt. Mr. Freeman will now present the next subdivision in the Pacific War and will give his opening statement.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal please, we now go to sub-division V of the Pacific Phase relative to treatment of prisoners of war and civilian internees.

The horridness of war has ever been recognized, but from time immemorial mankind has been beset with its evilness spreading among the peoples of
every nation a frenzied hatred which knows no bounds
of control. The consequence is that man perpetrates
upon his fellow man, during this blazing inferno of
madness, such treatment as cannot be reconciled with
the dictates of civilization.

Admitting for the moment this premise, we come to the appalling complex task now facing this Tribunal of adjudicating whether these men here on trial are chargeable with certain acts of misconduct committed by the armed personnel of their country.

The prosecution has alleged in the Indictment that the accused participated as leaders, organizers, instigators or accomplices in the formulation or execution of a common plan or conspiracy, the object of which was to procure and permit crimes against peace, crimes against humanity and conventional war crimes, including murder on a wholesale scale of prisoners of war, members of the armed forces of

countries opposed to Japan who lay down their arms, and civilians who might be in the power of Japan on land or sea in territories occupied by Japan, and crews of ships destroyed by Japanese forces. The Indictment further alleges that all or part of the accused authorized or permitted the Japanese naval and military forces in each of the several theaters of war in which Japan was engaged frequently and habitually to commit breaches of the law and customs of war as contained in Appendix D, which appendix cites certain articles of the Hague Convention of 1907 relative to the customs of war on land and the treatment of prisoners of war.

The accused categorically deny each and every allegation contained in the Indictment dealing with this entire subject matter. Evidence will be offered to show that Articles 7 and 8 of Chapter 2 of the Hague Convention referred to in this appendix were conformed to in every detail. Evidence will be further offered to show that Japan never at any time ratified the Geneva Convention of 1929 concerning the treatment of prisoners of war and civilians. And furthermore, evidence will be offered to show the reasons why these articles were not ratified. It will be shown that due to differences in customs, habits

22 23 24

and military discipline, it was impossible for Japan in good faith to ratify these articles. Japan was, of course, bound by the Hague Convention of 1907. Moreover, upon request of the United States and Britain, Japan undertook to apply mutatis mutandis the provisions of the Geneva Convention. Evidence will be offered to show in what manner the giving of this answer to the British and American Governments was decided upon. It will be shown that Japan endeavored with every possible means at its command to carry out the Hague Convention referred to above, and to apply the Geneva Convention so far as circumstances permitted, and that if there was any failure on the part of Japan to comply with said articles, it was due to the unrestricted submarine warfare and bombing of ships by the Allied Powers. It will be shown by graphs and affidavits that from the beginning of the war to the end, the Allied Powers had destroyed over 80% of the Japanese merchant marine due to this unrestricted warfare. Evidence will be offered to show that the prisoners of war and civilian internees received not only as good food and medical treatment as members of the Imperial Army, but were better treated than Japanese nationals. Affidavits and documents will be offered in evidence to show that former prisoners of war and

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

civilian internees received as good treatment as possible under the existing circumstances and the depleted resources of Japan. It will be further shown that in instances where any mistreatment occurred, the person committing the act was summarily disciplined and punished.

Specifically, it will be shown that at the outbreak of the war in the Pacific or soon thereafter, the Prisoner-of-War Information Bureau was set up to 10 facilitate the handling and care of prisoners; that in order to coordinate this work, the head of the POW 12 Administration Bureau within the War Ministry was concurrently head of the Prisoner-of-War Information Bureau. Thereafter instructions were drawn up and issued to all POW commanders in keeping with the Hague Convention and insofar as practicable, with the Geneva Convention of 1929. At no time were there any instructions given that could even remotely be interpreted to permit mistreatment of prisoners of war. On the contrary, the instructions, as will be shown by the evidence, continuously stressed the necessity at all times of being mindful of the prisoners of war and civilian internees' welfare.

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

"Evidence will be offered to show that POW camps were controlled by the camp commanders and that none of the accused, individually or collectively,
was in a position to direct the camp commanders, other
than in accordance with regulations for administration
issued by the War and Navy Ministries. It will be
shown that those in charge of these camps recognized
the racial and climatic differences of the prisoners
from the natives and constantly endeavored to improve
sanitary and health conditions surrounding the camps.

Evidence has already been submitted showing that with few exceptions the navy maintained facilities for caring for POW's only on a temporary basis and that as soon as feasible, POW's taken by the navy were transferred to army POW camps. Evidence will be offered to show that while the navy was so temporarily involved with the care of POW's they did everything to provide the best available facilities for them. It will be further shown that the alleged mistreatment of POW's on Wake Island took place without any knowledge on the part of the Navy High Command.

Evidence will be offered to show that during the war Japan's communication and transportation system was so destroyed and emasculated that it was absolutely impossible to maintain even a semblance of contact or control. Finally, affidavits and documents will be offered in evidence to show that the lack of food and

medical supplies was the primary cause of the suffering of not only POW's and civilian internees but of
the entire Japanese people. Taking into consideration
the racial, climatic and economic differences, the
treatment accorded the majority of those interned,
whether military or civilian, was under the circumstances then existing, fair and in accordance with
the international agreements. Of the mistreatments
that might have occurred we shall prove that these
accused had no connection whatsoever therewith but on
the contrary that they did what they could to prevent
them and finally, that the charge of conspiracy
levelled against these accused has no basis in fact.

I now refer to exhibit No. 15, which is "Treaties Governing Land Warfare." Certain sections will be read to show the difference between the regulations relative to the treatment of prisoners of war of the Hague Convention of 1907 and the Geneva Convention of 1929, which will be used as a basis to indicate later why Japan felt it impossible to ratify the 1929 Geneva Convention.

On page 17, article 7:

"The Government into whose hands prisoners of war have fallen is charged with their maintenance.

"In the absence of a special agreement between

MR. FREEMAN: I now read from page 73, articles 10, 11, 12, of the 1929 Geneva Convention:

"Article 10. Prisoners of war shall be lodged in buildings or in barracks affording all possible guarantees of hygiene and healthfulness.

"The quarters must be fully protected from dampness, sufficiently heated and lighted. All precautions must be taken against danger of fire.

"With regard to dormitories -- the total surface. minimum cubic amount of air, arrangement and material of bedding -- the conditions shall be the same as for the troops at base camps of the detaining Power."

"Article 11. The food ration of prisoners of war shall be equal in quantity and quality to that of troops at base camps.

"Furthermore, prisoners shall receive facilities for preparing themselves, additional food which they might have.

"A sufficiency of potable water shall be furnished them. The use of tobacco shall be permitted. Prisoners may be employed in the kitchens.

"All collective disciplinary measures affecting the food are prohibited."

"Article 12. Clothing, linen and footwear shall be furnished prisoners of war by the detaining

10

12

11

3

4

7

8

9

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Power. Replacement and repairing of these effects must be assured regularly. In addition, laborers must receive work clothes wherever the nature of the work requires it.

"Canteens shall be installed in all camps where prisoners may obtain, at the local market price, food products and ordinary objects."

I now read Article 86, at page 113:

"The High Contracting Parties recognize that the regular application of the present Convention will find a guaranty in the possibility of collaboration of the protecting Powers charged with safeguarding the interests of belligerents; in this respect, the protecting Powers may, besides their diplomatic personnel, appoint delegates from among their own nationals or from among the nationals of other neutral Powers. These delegates must be subject to the approval of the belligerent near which they exercise their mission.

"Representatives of the protecting Power or its accepted delegates shall be permitted to go to any place, without exception, where prisoners of war are interned. They shall have access to all places occupied by prisoners and may interview them, as a general rule without witness, personally or through interpreters."

I now read from page 117, Articles 91, 92, 93,

, 1

94 and 95:

..

"Article 92. The present Convention shall become effective six months after the deposit of at least two instruments of ratification.

"Subsequently, it shall become effective for each High Contracting Party six months after the deposit of its instrument of ratification."

"Article 93. From the date on which it becomes effective, the present Convention shall be open for adherences given on behalf of any country in whose name this Convention was not signed."

"Article 94. Adherence shall be given by written notification addressed to the Swiss Federal Council and shall take effect six months after the date of their receipt.

"The Swiss Federal Council shall communicate adherences to the Governments of all the countries on whose behalf the Convention was signed or notification of adherence made."

"Article 95. A state of war shall give immediate effect to ratifications deposited and to adherences notified by belligerent Powers prior to or after
the outbreak of hostilities. The communication of
ratification or adherences received from Powers at war
shall be made by the Swiss Federal Council by the most
rapid method."

1 2

I now read from exhibit 1490, where Japan agreed to apply the Geneva Convention mutatis mutandis to J.merican prisoners:

"(1) Japan strictly observes the Geneva Convention of July 27, 1929 relative to the Red Cross, as a signatory of that Convention.

"(2) The Imperial Government has not yet ratified the Convention relating to treatment of prisoners of war of 27 July 1929. It is therefore not bound by the said Convention. Nevertheless it will apply mutatis mutandis the provisions of that Convention to American prisoners of war in its power."

I now read one paragraph from Exhibit 1496, which likewise agrees to apply <u>mutatis mutandis</u> the provisions of the Geneva Convention to British, Canadian and Australian prisoners of war under Japanese control:

"1. The Imperial Government has not ratified the agreement in question and therefore it would not be bound to any extent by the said agreement, but would apply mutatis mutandis the provisions of the said agreement toward the British, Canadian and Australian and New Zealand prisoners of war under Japanese control."

"Signature of the Minister."

I now read the last paragraph of Exhibit 1471, which indicates the difference in living conditions of

the Japanese as against those of America:

"Foreign Ministry assures me Japan will do all in its power to extend good treatment but is not in a position to offer standard of living equal to that of American for conditions between two countries are so different. Japanese people are poor and contented with little, from which facts arise difficulties concerning treatment of foreign internees. Regarding non-interned Americans, situation good according to their own statements. Assure American Government that I am attentive. ly following question both with Foreign Office and with my representatives."

We will call the witness MATSUMOTO.

1	SHUN-ICHI MATSUMOTO, called as a
2	witness in behalf of the defense, being first
3	duly sworn, testified through Japanese inter-
4	preters as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. TREEMAN:
7	Q Mr. MATSUMOTO, will you give us your full
8	name and address?
9	A My name is MATSUMOTO, Shun-Ichi. My address
10	is 639 Yukigaya-cho, Ota-ku, Tokyo.
11	MR. FREEMAN: May the witness see defense
12	document 1083 revised?
13	(Whereupon, a document was handed to the
14	witness.)
15	Q Is that your affidavit and have you signed
16	it?
17	A This is undoubtedly mine.
18	Q Are the contents therein true?
19	A They are true.
20	MR. FREEMAN: I now offer in evidence defense
21	document 1083 revised.
22	THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.
23	COLONEL MORNANE: If it please the Tribunal,
	or and the state of the state o

the prosecution objects to the second paragraph on

page 2 of the document and the last paragraph on that

22

24

page.

The second paragraph purports to show the reason why Japan did not ratify the Geneva Convention and the reason why it did not apply the Geneva Convention without reserve with regard to the war.

THE PRESIDENT: They are giving their reasons why they gave that qualification <u>mutatis</u> <u>mutandis</u>, I think, Colonel. There isn't much harm in letting them give the reasons for that. There may be no answer, of course.

colonel Mornane: With regard to the reasons why they made the reservation, your Honor, it is submitted that their reasons are quite irrelevant. It is for the Court to determine objectively the meaning of these words used in written documents that have been sent out to various governments in the early stages of the war.

It is further contended that the intention of Japan with respect to the treatment of prisoners of war is not relevant either. Finally, with regard to that paragraph, there is nothing in this affidavit to show the witness is in any way qualified to say what were or were not the intentions of the Japanese Government.

THE PRESIDENT: He is the Director of the

Bureau of Treaties, and this is a treaty.

COLONEL MORNANE: With great respect, your Honor: He was in the office of the Director of the Bureau of Treaties.

THE PRESIDENT: That may be, but he was in an office that should know what actuated them in doing what they did.

You see, you tendered documents showing the use of that Latin expression. They can certainly explain what they meant by it.

COLONEL MORNANE: With regard to the last paragraph, if your Honor pleases --

THE PRESIDENT: Well, that is objectionable, clearly.

are trying to limit the meaning of this term by language at this time, whereas in actual fact had there been any ambiguity about it you would have expected them to have set it out in the various letters they sent to the Swiss and other governments.

THE PRESIDENT: Obviously that phrase was not used in its ordinary legal acceptation. In the context in which it was used in the documents tendered by you it meant something different from that.

COLONEL MORNANE: I quite agree, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Here is an attempt to explain it. At least part of that paragraph is devoted to that, as I understand it.

COLONEL MORNANE: Does your Honor want me to go on now with my objection to the last paragraph of the affidavit?

THE PRESIDENT: That must be sustained.

By a majority the Court sustains the second objection, that is, to the last paragraph, but over-rules the first objection, and the document will be admitted, with the exception of the last paragraph, on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1083 will receive exhibit No. 3039.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 3039 and received in evidence.)

MR. FREEMAN: I now read exhibit 3039 as directed by the Court.

"Having first duly sworn on oath as on the attached sheet, in accordance with the procedure prevailing in my country, I hereby depose as follows:"

THE PRESIDENT: Omit those formal parts.

MR. FREEMAN (continuing reading): "1. I entered the Foreign Ministry in the year 1921, and was

in the office of the Director of the Bureau of Treaties from September, 1940, to October, 1942.

"After the outbreak of the Pacific "ar, matters concerning prisoners of war as well as civilians
held in the theater of operations were under the charge
and control of the Army or the Navy, while civilians
of the enemy countries interned in Japan proper were
under that of the Home Ministry and those in the overseas territories, such as Formosa, Korea and Saghalien,
under that of the Ministry of Overseas Affairs. In
this connection, the liaison business with foreign
countries, so far as it was transacted through the
Foreign Ministry, was carried out chiefly by the Bureau
of Treaties until 1 November 1942.

"2. When the war broke out, Foreign Minister TOGO frequently expressed to the officials of the Foreign Ministry concerned his hope that civilian internees and prisoners of war might be treated with humanity and lenience. Pointing out that the fate of Japanese residents, amounting to several hundred thousands, in the enemy countries would be affected by the treatment by Japan of those prisoners of war and civilian internees, he urged us to take whatever steps might be possible for the realization of his hopes.

We transacted business in conformity with the Foreign

Minister's wishes in our daily contact with the competent officials of the other Government offices concerned.

"3. On 27 December 1941 the United States Government addressed an inquiry through the Minister of Switzerland to the Japanese Government concerning the Treaty of 1929 for treatment of prisoners of war (The Geneva Convention). The United States being a signatory of the Convention, it inquired whether the Japanese Government intended to abide by the Convention during the present war despite Japan's not having ratified it (Exhibit 1468). With respect to the treatment of prisoners of war, Japan had been a signatory to the Hague Convention concerning the Laws and Customs of War on Land, concluded in 1907. That Convention has in its appendix seventeen stipulations regarding prisoners of war, and the principles of humanity are, moreover, stressed in its preamble. In accordance with Foreign Minister TOGO's opinion that our country should, out of humanitarianism, abide by the Geneva Convention (which expressed in fullest detail the stipulations of the Hague Convention) to the maximum extent that circumstances permitted, I discussed the matter with UEMURA, Director of the Prisonersof-War Information Bureau, and other Army and Navy

(B)

20

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

officers concerned. As a result, the reply was made to the United States Government on 29 January 1942 (Exhibit 1469), in accordance with the answer of the "ar Ministry, which was in charge of the matter (Exhibit 1958), to the effect that although Japan had not ratified the Geneva Convention, and therefore was not bound by it. Japan would apply the Convention mutatis mutandis with respect to American prisoners of war under Japanese control. In response to the same inquiry made by Great Britain through Argentine Charge d'Affaires on 3 January of the same year (Exhibit 1494) a similar reply was made on 29 January (Exhibit 1496). As Great Britain proposed on 5 January 1942 through the Argentine Charge d'affaires that national and racial customs be taken into consideration, on a reciprocal basis, with respect to the supplying of food and clothing to prisoners of war (Exhibit 1495), the Japanese Government expressed agreement to that proposal also, in the same reply dated 29 January (Exhibit 1496). As the United States Government subsequently made inquiry on this latter point (Exhibit 1492), a reply similar to that to Great Britain was sent (Exhibit 1493).

"Japan had not ratified the Geneva Convention by reason primarily of the relations between the pro-

10 11

3

5

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23 24

visions of domestic law with those of the Convention; and the problems growing from this inter-relation would still have given rise to much difficulty in the event of an undertaking to apply the Convention completely and unconditionally. Moreover, it was anticipated that great difficulties in practice would result, as large-scale warfare spread over Fast Asia, if we were to apply strictly all the stipulations of the Geneva Convention, which Japan had not ratified. It was for these reasons that it was replied that the stipulations of the Convention were to be applied mutatis mutandis. It was the intention of Japan with respect to the treatment of prisoners of war that the stipulations of the Geneva Convention be applied so far as circumstances permitted; in other words, unless there were hindrances or obstacles which made its application impracticable.

"It has to be noted that Japan did not at that time formally ratify or join the Convention in accordance with the provisions of Article 91 or Articles 94 and 95 thereof. What the Japanese Government did was only to communicate its intention in response to the inquiries made by the Governments of the United States and Great Britain, through the countries representing their interests in Japan respect-

2

1

4

6

8

10

11

12

14

16

18

(-1)

17

19

20

22

23 24

necessary for ratifying or entering the Convention, nor did it submit to the Government of Switzerland its ratification or notification of entrance, in accordance with the aforesaid provisions. For this reason the Swiss Government never notified the member-nations of the Convention of Japan's ratification of or entrance into the Convention."

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until half-past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 29 August, 1947, at 0930.)